ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Composition of supralittoral sediments bacterial communities in a Mediterranean island

Giovanni Bacci · Ettore Pagoto · Maurizio Passaponti ·
Pietro Vannocci · Alberto Ugolini · Alessio Mengoni

Abstract Marine coasts represent highly dynamic ecosystems, with sandy beaches being one of the most heterogeneous. Despite the key importance of sandy beaches as transition ecosystems between sea and land, very few studies on the microbiological composition of beach sediments have been performed. To provide a first description of microbial composition of supralittoral sediments, we investigated the composition of bacterial communities of three sandy beaches, at Favignana Island, Italy, using metagenetic approaches (Terminal-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism, sequencing of 16S rRNA genes by Illumina-Solexa technology, functional genes detection, and quantitative Real-Time PCR). Results showed that the investigated beaches are harboring a rich bacterial diversity, mainly composed by members of classes *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Flavobacteria* and *Actinobacteria*. The metagenetic analysis showed profiles of decreasing beta diversity and increasing richness, as well as a differentiation of communities, along the sea-to-land axis. In particular, members of *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* displayed contrasting profiles of relative abundance (to decrease and to increase, respectively) along the sea-to-land axis of the beach. Finally, a search for the presence of genes related to the nitrogen and carbon biogeochemical cycle (*nif*H, *nos*Z, *pmoA*/*amo*A) detected the presence of ammonia monoxygenase sequences (*amo*A) only, suggesting the presence of bacterial ammonia oxidation to some extent, probably due to members of *Nitrospira*, but with the lack of nitrogen fixation and denitrification.

G. Bacci · E. Pagoto · A. Ugolini · A. Mengoni (✉)
Department of Biology, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino Science Park, via Madonna del Piano 6, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy
e-mail: alessio.mengoni@unifi.it

G. Bacci
Consiglio per la Ricerca e la Sperimentazione in Agricoltura, Centro di Ricerca per lo Studio delle Relazioni tra Pianta e Suolo (CRA-RPS), Via della Navicella 2/4, 00184 Rome, Italy

M. Passaponti
Department of Chemistry, University of Florence, via della Lastruccia 13, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

P. Vannocci
Department of Earth Science, University of Florence, via La Pira, 4, 50121 Florence, Italy

Introduction

Sandy beaches are world-wide distributed and are constantly subjected to biotic and abiotic disturbances, represented by natural and artificial bioturbation, seasonal and tidal temperature fluctuation, erosion by currents, etc. (for a review see McLachlan and Brown 2006).

In spite of their importance as an ecological transition zone between land and sea, and serious concerns for their ecological persistence (Brown and McLachlan 2002; Schlacher et al. 2007), only very recently the microbial ecology of sandy sediments, particularly concerning the submerged ones, has stirred attention (Gobet et al. 2012) and a census of web sites related to bacteria in sand has recently been published (Wackett 2013). Bacterial and fungal strains have been previously isolated from beach sediments (Teplinskaia 1978; Figueira and Barata 2007; Jin et al. 2011), but very few investigations have been done aiming to describe the taxonomic composition of these sandy beaches (Rosano-

Hernandez et al. 2012), consequently hampering functional studies and biodiversity estimations in such an "extreme", but common environment. In fact, the large fluctuations in temperature, humidity, salinity and nutrient of sandy beaches, especially in a temperate zone, could allow for consideration of these environments as nontypical, when compared to soil or water environments. Additionally, the supralittoral zone of sandy beaches may also contain human pathogens, due to human impact by recreational use of beaches, or urbanization (see for examples Bonadonna et al. 2003; Mudryk 2005; Ugolini et al. 2008).

The aim of this work is to provide a first insight into the composition of bacterial communities present in supralittoral sediments of Mediterranean sandy beaches by using three beaches at Favignana Island (Italy), which are differentially exposed to wind and water streams and to anthropic impact.

Material and methods

Sampling site description, sampling procedure and physico-chemical characteristics

Samples of subsurface sand (5 cm below the surface) were taken in summer 2011 in three beaches of Favignana Island (Italy). The Favignana Islands are part of the Protected Marine Area "Isole Egadi", located in the Southern part of Mediterranean sea, in close proximity to Sicily Island (Italy) and is characterized by Lower Pleistocene carbonate grainstones. The three main beaches present in the Island were sampled, namely, Praja (37°55′45.62″ N, 12°19′30.66″ E), which is located in close proximity to the main urban centre of the island on the north-east coast; Lido Burrone (37°55′9.67″ N, 12°18′24.67″ E), a touristic beach on the south-west coast, and Faraglioni (37°56′42.83″ N, 12°16′46.93″ E), a beach with limited touristic use, due to lack of main roads for accessing it, on the north-west coast. From every beach, a transect from the damp band to the upper limit of the beach (thereafter referred to as the "Y-axis") was done considering three sampling points: i) the damp band (here named as the shore-line), ii) the intermediate zone between the damp band and the upper limit of the beach (named as the mid-line) and iii) the upper limit of the beach, 1 m before the dune zone and the vegetation (named as the upper-line). For each sampling point, three samples 20-cm apart from each other, were taken along an ideal line parallel to the shore-line. A total of nine samples per beach were taken. Sampling consisted of filling completely a 50 ml polypropylene sterile tube inserted in sandy sediment from its surface down to the total length of the tube (ca. 10 cm). Due to the impossibility of immediately storing at −80°C or extracting DNA, samples were stored with an open lid at ambient temperature (25°C) for two days in the dark, trying to simulate as much as possible the natural conditions present in the beach and then stored at −80 °C prior to DNA extraction. We cannot exclude that for some samples the storage conditions could have affected the relative abundance of some taxa.

Physical-chemical characteristics are reported in Table 1. Determination of organic carbon (TOC) present in the test samples was performed following a standard protocol (Italian Official Bulletin, G.U. n ° 248 of 10.21.1999) with a Perkin Elmer elemental analyzer CHNS/O Series II model 2400. Granulometry was analyzed by particle size analysis using standard procedures (Bowles 1988; Head 1984).

DNA extraction, T-RFLP profiling, functional genes detection and real-time PCR

DNA was extracted from sediments, after homogenization of the total sample contained in the 50 ml polypropylene sterile tube, by using a commercial kit (Fast DNA Spin kit for soil, QBiogene, Cambridge, UK), following manufacturer's instructions.

The 16S rRNA genes were amplified from extracted DNA of all 27 collected samples with primer pairs 27f and 1495r, as previously reported (Trabelsi et al. 2009). Terminal-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP) procedure was then followed on purified amplification products. In particular, amplicons were digested separately with restriction enzymes *Taq*I and *Alu*I and digestions were resolved by capillary electrophoresis on an ABI310 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using LIZ 500 (Applied Biosystems) as a size standard. T-RFLP analysis was performed on two technical PCR replicates from each DNA extract, as previously reported (Mengoni et al. 2005). Only peaks present in both duplicate runs were considered for successive analyses.

Real-Time PCR for quantification of bacterial cells was performed estimating the number of 16S rRNA gene copies with bacterial primers Eub341F (5′- CCTACGGGAGGCAG CAG-3′) and Eub515R (5′-TACCGCGGCKGCTGGCA-3′) targeting the 16S rRNA gene, as reported by Simmons and coworkers (Simmons et al. 2007), using genomic DNA of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* Rm1021 as the concentration standard for copy number calculation (genome size 6.9 Mbp). Data obtained were compared with one-way ANOVA.

Functional diversity was evaluated by PCR amplification of *nifH* (encoding the nitrogenase reductase subunit), *nosZ* (encoding the nitrous oxide reductase gene) and *pmoA*/*amoA* (the internal fragment of particulate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase genes, Holmes et al. 1995). For *nifH* the semi-nested approach described in Widmer et al. 1999 was used, following the amplification protocol reported in Giuntini et al. 2006. For *nosZ*, primers nosZ-F-1181 and nosZ-R-1880, were used (Rich et al. 2003) with the amplification protocol already reported (Pastorelli et al. 2011). For

Table 1 Physico-chemical features and bacterial community diversity of Favignana sandy beaches

Sample	Mean no. T-RFs[a]	Mean bacterial 16S rRNA gene copies (copies/g of sand)[a]	% Humidity	Organic carbon (%)	Texture (%)			
					Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay
Lido Burrone shore-line	9.0±0	$3.9±1.2x10^4$	0.26	5.93	n.d.	97.9	2.0	n.d.
Lido Burrone mid-line	6.7±0.6	$1.7±0.4x10^4$	0.02	5.79	n.d.	99.5	0.5	n.d.
Lido Burrone upper-line	6.7±1.5	$1.2±1.1x10^4$	0.1	5.90	0.3	97.6	2.0	0.1
Faraglioni shore-line	9.7±0.6	$1.3±0.6x10^5$	0.20	9.76	1.0	99.0	n.d.	n.d.
Faraglioni mid-line	7.0±0	$7.6±1.5x10^4$	0.02	8.96	n.d.	100	n.d.	n.d.
Faraglioni upper-line	5.7±0.6	$6.9±0.8x10^4$	0.04	8.52	n.d.	100	n.d.	n.d.
Praja shore-line	8.7±0.6	$4.6±1.1x10^4$	0.18	6.56	10.8	87.4	1.8	0.1
Praja mid-line	7.0±0.6	$3.2±0.3x10^4$	0.03	7.14	n.d.	98.5	1.4	0.1
Praja upper-line	4.7±1.0	$3.4±0.5x10^4$	0.01	6.76	0.3	97.8	1.9	0.1

N.d. not detectable

[a] The mean number of T-RFs are shown as a proxy of community diversity (ribotypic diversity). Mean bacterial 16S rRNA gene copies are calculated with qPCR (see Material and Methods)

±, standard deviation

pmoA/*amoA*, primer pairs A189F/A682R and A189F/mb661R (Bourne et al. 2001; Horz et al. 2005) were used with the two-steps amplification protocol reported in Horz et al. 2005. In particular, A189F/mb661R primer pair specifically amplify *pmoA* sequences and not *amoA* sequences, allowing for discrimination between methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase genes (Bourne et al. 2001). Genomic DNA of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* was used as a positive control for *nifH* and *nosZ* amplification, while for *pmoA*/*amoA* genomic DNA of *Nitrosomonas europaea* was included as a positive control in PCR amplification.

Metagenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicons

DNA aliquots extracted from Faraglioni beach samples were pooled together, with respect to the position along the sea-to-land transect to obtain three samples, each composed by the triplicate samples of shore-line, mid-line and upper-line samples, respectively. The variable V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene pool of total bacterial community was amplified from each sample DNA with primer pairs V3-338F (5′-ACTCCT ACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′) and V3-533R (5′- TTACCGCG GCTGCTGGCAC-3′) (Huse et al. 2008). PCR conditions were as described in Sogin and coworkers (Sogin et al. 2006). Ten independent PCR reactions per sample were done, then pooled together to produce three representative PCR amplicon libraries for each environmental DNA sampling point (shore-line, mid-line, upper-line). Products were resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis and bands were purified with a MinElute Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Inc.). Quality and quantity of products was assessed on a Biophotometer (Eppendorf).

Massive sequencing was performed by Illumina-Solexa technology (Gloor et al. 2010; Bartram et al. 2011) with the pair-end protocol on an Illumina HiSeq2000 machine by Beijing Genome Institute sequencing service (www.genomics.cn/). Sequences are deposited in the Bioproject database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) with ID: 234346.

Statistical analyses and processing of T-RFLP data

Analysis of T-RFLP profiles was performed as previously reported (Pini et al. 2012). Statistical analyses were performed on a binary matrix obtained by linearly combining data from the two restriction enzymes as previously reported (Mengoni et al. 2009). Ribotypic diversity, as the number of Terminal Restriction Fragments (T-RFs) identified in each sample, was used as an estimator of richness as reported previously (Mengoni et al. 2009). Cluster (UPGMA) analysis and Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) were done with Past software (Hammer et al. 2001) on the Jaccard similarity matrix obtained from binary T-RFLP profiles. To test the distribution of the variance of T-RFLP profiles within and among beaches and on the Y-axis, AMOVA (Analysis of Molecular Variance, Excoffier et al. 1992) was applied using Arlequin 3.11 software (Excoffier et al. 2007). AMOVA was used as a statistical methodology alternative to the classical analysis of variance (ANOVA). AMOVA is more flexible for biological data than classical ANOVA because it does not require a prior assumption of normality of the dataset and statistical significance is computed through a permutation test (Excoffier et al. 1992; Mengoni and Bazzicalupo 2002), and it has been widely applied to analyze community profiles in molecular microbial ecology (for examples see Dalmastri

et al. 1999; Tabacchioni et al. 2000; Mengoni and Bazzicalupo 2002; Mengoni et al. 2009; Pini et al. 2012).

Sequence analysis and bioinformatics

Sequence reads (of approx. 100 bp in length, covering the V3 region of 16S rRNA gene) were subjected to a first quality control step in order to eliminate low complexity reads and low quality bases. Three other quality control steps were performed: i) firstly, the presence of Illumina adaptors and primers was checked by using a standard procedure, as reported in the FASTQC manual (http://www.bioinformatics. babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) that is by collecting sequences of 50 bp that were overrepresented in the reads file and analyzing them using blast against the nt database; ii) a second step was performed using a dynamic trimming algorithm to trim the poor quality bases in all the reads of the samples, in order to delete possible ambiguous bases. A quality cut off of 28 was used to obtain an error percentage at least of 0.16 %; and iii) finally, sequences files were analyzed using FastQC in order to check for increased quality of reads.

For sequence analysis and taxonomy classification, reads with less than 50 bp were deleted from the datasets. Then the resulting reads, with length > 50 bp were analyzed by using the RDP Classifier and taxonomically assigned. The results obtained by the RDP Classifier were imported into MEGAN (MEta Genome Analyzer, Huson et al. 2007) to calculate a taxonomic classification of the reads. The taxonomic classification obtained was collapsed to different taxonomic levels (phylum, class, order, family and genus) with the purpose of analyze the absolute read abundance attributed to that taxonomic level on each dataset. Recommendations for thresholds as in Mizrahi-Man et al. 2013 were followed, which allow an error rate up to 5% at the genus level using a confidence threshold of 95% (Mizrahi-Man et al. 2013).

To assess taxa richness, rarefaction analyses were performed using the R package Vegan [http://cc.oulu.fi/~jarioksa/softhelp/vegan.html], after collapsing reads to the taxonomic levels of genus, family, order and class, with a probability of assignment of 80% or above.

Finally, data obtained from MEGAN were analyzed using R (package vegan - http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html). Firstly, absolute abundances were transformed to relative ones using this formula:

$$X_{ij}^{norm} = X_{ij}/X_{j+}$$

Where: i and j are the matrix rows and columns, respectively, X_{ij} is the value in the row i and the column j, X_{ij}^{norm} is the normalized value in the row i and the column j and X_{j+} is the sum of all value in the column j. Then, a heat map was made for every different taxonomic level by using custom R scripts (available upon request) and the graphic package ggplot2

(http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html). Relative abundances were then used to make a clustering using the UPGMA algorithm and "Bray-Curtis" distance. At phylum level the absolute abundance was resampled in order to check if the differences between the datasets obtained were statistically significant. A number of 10,000 resamples was done for every pair of datasets. Then, the differences obtained with the 10,000 resamples were tested against the real difference between each samples pair using a Wilcoxon signed rank test with a 95% confidence interval (a p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant) (Figure S1). For each phylum a boxplot of the 10,000 differences obtained was plotted for each samples pair (3 boxplots in total) using R graphics package ggplot2 (see above) (Figure S2).

As previously suggested (Hill et al. 2003; Schloss and Handelsman 2006; Shaw et al. 2008; Gerber et al. 2012) there is not a unique indicator of community diversity, consequently four diversity indices were then calculated (Hill et al. 2003): inverse Simpson index (defined as D^{-1}), Shannon-Weaver index, Richness index (as estimators of alpha diversity), Evenness index and beta-diversity (defined as "total number of taxa in one site"/"means of taxa count in all sites" -1).

All the script and classes used in this work were written in Java or R and are available upon request.

Results

T-RFLP profiling of bacterial community function and diversity

Application of 16S rRNA gene T-RFLP bacterial community profiling to the 27 total DNA samples (nine samples for three sampling points per locality) allowed the identification of 30 different T-RFs (Terminal-Restriction Fragments), two of which were present in all samples, while the others were detected in 1 –11 samples. The ribotypic diversity of communities (as number of T-RFs, Table 1) was relatively low and varied from 4.7 mean T-RFs (Praja upper-line) to 9.7 (Faraglioni shore-line). In general shore-line samples had more T-RFs than upper-line samples. Bacteria titres (no. of cells/g of sand), estimated by quantitative PCR, were not different (one-way ANOVA) between samples and varied from $1.3 \pm 0.6\ 10^5$ cells/g (Faraglioni shore-line) to $1.2 \pm 1.1\ 10^4$ cells/g (Lido Burrone upper-line). No relationships between organic carbon content, ribotype richness and bacterial cell estimates (as 16S rRNA gene copies) were found (data not shown).

CCA (Fig. 1a) showed that samples are mainly grouped according to their position along the Y-axis (from left to right) and that humidity is clearly related with such a axis, while organic carbon content is more related to differences among localities. However, also some groupings related to the

Fig. 1 Pattern of ordination of 16S rRNA bacterial community T-RFLP profiles. **a** Nonmetric Muldimensional Scaling (nMDS); **b**) UPGMA clustering. Cophenetic correlation coefficient=0.9098. In CCA each point represents a DNA sample for the beaches of Faraglioni, Praja and different localities (beaches) were detected, in particular when cluster analysis was applied (Fig. 1b). In fact, UPGMA Lido Burrone; *squares*, mid-line samples; *circles*, shore-line samples; *triangles*, upper-line samples. *Black-filled symbols*, Faraglioni, *grey-filled symbols*, Lido Burrone; *white-filled symbols*, Praja. Vectors for humidity (%) and organic carbon (%) are reported

different localities (beaches) were detected, in particular when cluster analysis was applied (Fig. 1b). In fact, UPGMA

dendrogram highlighted a strong differentiation of Faraglioni beach samples from Lido Burrone and Praja samples. In

particular, one main cluster including samples from Praja and Lido Burrone only, while another one including all samples from Faraglioni and some samples from Lido Burrone, were identified. In agreement with CCA, in this latter cluster, all upper-line samples from Faraglioni and Lido Burrone grouped together at the Jaccard similarity >0.50. Finally, it is interesting to notice, that in both CCA and UPGMA, several triplicate samples from the same point along the Y-axis clustered together at the Jaccard similarity > 0.60 (i.e., Faraglioni mid-line, Lido Burrone mid-line, Praja upper-line, Praja shore-line, and Faraglioni sore-line). To quantitatively evaluate the contribution of Y-axis position and of locality (single beach) an AMOVA was carried out on T-RFLP profiles. A two-levels hierarchical partition (among sampling points and within sampling points partitions) showed that most of the variance is due to differences between sampling points (73.37%, $P<0.0001$). On a three-levels hierarchical analysis (Table 2), localities and Y-axis similarly contributed to bacterial community differentiation (8.9 and 8.5% of variance, respectively).

To evaluate the functionality of bacterial communities in terms of performance, some of the steps of the biogeochemical cycle of nitrogen and of carbon were checked. In particular, three gene fragments, for which "universal" primers have been developed, were chosen: i) *nifH* (encoding the nitrogenase reductase subunit), ii) *nosZ* (encoding the nitrous oxide reductase gene), and iii) *pmoA/amoA* (the conserved internal fragment of particulate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase genes). Amplicons were detected only for *pmoA/amoA* with primer pair A189F/A682R in Faraglioni and Praja shore line samples. Semi-nested reamplification with A189F/mb661 primer pair did not produced amplification products, suggesting that most of first amplification amplicons were due to *amoA*-related sequences.

Diversity of 16S rRNA gene amplicon libraries from Faraglioni beach

Since T-RFLP analysis showed a pattern of community diversity along the Y-axis, we focused on one locality only for an in-deep taxonomic investigation by massive sequencing of 16S rRNA gene amplicons. We selected the locality of Faraglioni because of the lower anthropic impact and higher organic carbon present, with respect to the other two localities. A total of 380,080, 391,008, and 382,584 reads for shore-line, mid-line and upper-line samples, respectively, were analyzed. Results (Table 3, Fig. S3) indicated differences in the coverage of diversity of samples along the Y-axis. In particular, upper-line was the most diverse, while mid-line and shore-line samples were less diverse. In order to have an idea of the number of unseen taxa present in the samples, the nonparametric estimator Chao I was also calculated (Table 3), allowing to show an underestimation for all the three samples along the Y-axis, which accounted for ~44 Families. Considering higher taxonomic levels (order and class), the difference between observed and predicted values of richness were clearly reduced. In general, Richness and inverse Simpson indices were in agreement with the above mentioned coverage data, showing a profile of increasing diversity along the Y-axis from shore-line to upper-line, while Evenness and Shannon-Weaver indices have a similar profile and did not allow for resolving the variability on the Y-axis.

Table 2 Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) of T-RFLP profiles for three localities (Lido Burrone, Praja, Faraglioni) and three environments for locality (shore-, mid-, upper-line)

Source of variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Variance components	Percentage of variation	P-value
a) Localities					
Among localities	2	25.704	0.37860	8.87	<0.2 (n.s.)
Among environments within locality	6	56.667	2.77778	65.09	<0.0001
Within locality	18	20.000	1.11111	26.04	<0.0001
Total	26	102.370	4.26749	$F_{ST}=0.73963$	
b) Environments					
Among environments	2	25.926	0.37037	8.57	<0.2 (n.s.)
Among locality within environment	6	57.778	2.83951	65.71	<0.0001
Within environment	18	20.000	1.11111	25.71	<0.0001
Total	26	103.704	4.32099	$F_{ST}=0.71875$	

AMOVA was performed attributing the following two types of groupings: Computation a) is made with T-RFLP profiles grouped according to localities. Computation b) is made with T-RFLP profiles grouped according to the environment. For each grouping the percent of the total variance observed was attributed to the two hierarchical partitions. Data show the degrees of freedom (d.f.), the sum of squared deviation, the variance component estimate, the percentage of total variance contributed by each component, the F_{ST} statistics (Fixation index), and the probability (P) of obtaining a more extreme component estimate by chance alone estimated computing 10,000 permutations; n.s., not significant

Table 3 Diversity indices of samples from Faraglioni beach after collapsing 16S rRNA gene sequences to different taxonomic levels

Sample	Inverse Simpson	Shannon	Evenness	Beta diversity	Richness	Chao1	Chao1 error
Class							
Shore-line	3.36	1.47	0.50	1.33	19	21.00	5.29
Mid-line	3.02	1.40	0.47	1.26	20	21.00	3.40
Upper-line	2.41	1.27	0.41	1.09	23	23.75	2.29
Order							
Shore-line	6.19	2.11	0.55	1.26	47	54.20	9.02
Mid-line	7.61	2.36	0.60	1.18	50	57.00	10.27
Upper-line	6.37	2.17	0.54	1.04	57	62.25	8.28
Family							
Shore-line	4.39	2.10	0.46	1.41	97	140.88	29.00
Mid-line	5.34	2.34	0.50	1.31	104	147.88	29.00
Upper-line	6.73	2.32	0.49	1.16	117	153.91	21.51
Genus							
Shore-line	17.06	3.54	0.70	1.76	153	235.50	33.62
Mid-line	9.10	3.21	0.62	1.51	178	262.91	31.25
Upper-line	6.51	2.53	0.47	1.24	218	598.00	153.90

The analysis is based on samples from Faraglioni beach, after collapsing 16S rRNA gene sequences to different taxonomic levels. Unseen species are expressed as Chao1 index

Bacterial phyla composition and sea-to-land differences of Faraglioni beach

The pattern of relative phyla abundance along the Y-axis are reported as a heat map in Fig. 3. The clustering of the heat map patterns indicated that at the phylum level upper-line and mid-line are more similar to each other, than to shore-line. The most abundant phyla were *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* (Fig. 2). The most abundant classes were *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria*, then followed by *Flavobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* (Fig. 2). Then, at the order level, *Flavobacteriales*, *Actynomicetales*, *Rhizobiales*, *Rhodobacterales*, *Bacillales*, *Alteromonadales*, *Chromatiales*, and *Oceanospirillales* were the most represented (Fig. 2).

Different trends of abundance of phyla were detected along the Y-axis (Fig. 3). In particular, *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* presented increasing and decreasing trends, respectively (Wilcoxon test p-value < 0.05), while *Actinobacteria* showed lower abundance in the mid-line compared to the other two samples (Wilcoxon test p-value < 0.05). *Bacteroidetes* did not show differences. The two phyla of *Fusobacteria* and *Nitrospirae* were excluded from the analysis, since they have too few hits.

Variability of *Proteobacteria* and *Firmicutes* along the Y-axis of Faraglioni beach

Since *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* showed a contrasting profile, a more detailed taxonomic analysis was performed in these phyla down to the family level. For *Firmicutes*, most of the hits were due to members of the spore-forming aerobic bacteria of the class *Bacilli*, order *Bacillales* (Fig. 2) and family *Bacillaceae* (Fig. 4), which were abundant in the shore-line sample. For *Proteobacteria*, shore-line and mid-line samples were more similar toeach other, contrarily to the pattern observed for the overall phyla composition and for *Firmicutes*. Members of family *Rhodobacteraceae* were the most abundant and did not show high variability along the Y-axis. Other less abundant families were *Alteromonadaceae*, *Rhodobacteraceae*, *Erythrobacteraceae*, and *Ectothiorhodospiraceae* (Fig. 4).

Finally, to allow one to infer additionally potential functional activities related to the bacterial families detected, the most abundant families for *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria*, namely *Bacillaceae*, *Alteromonadaceae*, *Rhodobacteraceae*, *Erythrobacteraceae*, and *Ectothiorhodospiraceae* were investigated collapsing reads at genus level. Observed relative genera abundances are reported in Fig. 5. For *Bacillaceae*, two main genera were detected, *Bacillus* and *Halobacillus*. For *Alteromonadaceae*, which were mainly found in shore-line samples and were practically absent in mid-line and upper-line samples, genus *Marinobacter* was the most abundant. Within *Rhodobacteraceae*, ten main genera were represented, with *Sulfitobacter* being the most abundant in upper-line samples. For *Erythrobacteraceae*, the genus *Erythrobacter* was the most represented, while for *Ectothiorhodospiraceae*, *Thiohalospira* was the most abundant genus.

Discussion

The supralittoral belt is a highly dynamic transition zone between sea and land and is characterized by a sharp passage from a

Fig. 2 Cluster analysis of the taxonomic composition of bacterial communities of the three samples of Faraglioni beach. Clustering was performed on bacterial relative abundance patterns by applying the UPGMA algorithm. The patterns of relative abundance were used to generate a heat-map and the occurrence values are reported as a barchart upon the heat-map. **a** Phylum level; **b**) Class level; **c**) Order level

humid zone (the damp band) to an arid area, subjected to strong fluctuations of salinity and temperature. This quite narrow (often a few meters in the Mediterranean area) zone is relatively neglected by biologists as a reservoir of biodiversity (in comparison to the dune ecosystem). On the contrary, beach sediments (especially those devoted to recreational use) are usually subjected to government controls, concerning the environmental quality and the presence of pathogens. Despite the fact that in sensitive areas, as Marine Protected Areas, all the interventions on littoral,

sublittoral, dunal and retrodunal environments are usually performed after a careful check of protected species, concerning the supralittoral zone, most parts of nourishment are performed with no or little attention for micro-, meio- and endofauna. However, interventions (as beach cleaning, sand movements with caterpillars, etc.) are normal practices, especially in touristic areas (McLachlan and Brown 2006; Schlacher et al. 2007). Nevertheless, sandy beaches are an ecosystem linked to the degradation of organic material of terrestrial and marine origin

Fig. 3 Identification of abundance trends in bacterial Phyla along the Y-axis. Panels show the trends of abundance of main Phyla along the Y-axis. Shown Phyla have observed differences that are significant at $P<0.05$ after a resampling analysis and Wilcoxon test. For each plot abscissa is indicated the Y-axis sample, while on the ordinate is the number of reads accounting for that phylum

(Misic and Fabiano 2005; Schlacher et al. 2008; Ugolini and Ungherese 2012). Surprisingly, here we have shown that, despite the low organic carbon present (for reference with agricultural soils, see, for instance, Ludwig et al. 2011) and the extreme conditions, the sampled sandy beaches contain appreciable number of bacterial cells (from 10^4 to 10^5 cells/g as detected by the qPCR approach), lower than soil but comparable to those found in the plant endosphere (Mengoni et al. 2005, 2009; Pini et al. 2012) and lower than those found in La Jolla (California) sandy beaches (Glavin et al. 2004) and in Mediterranean sea

Fig. 4 Cluster analysis for *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria*. The analysis was performed as those reported in the legend of Figure 3, by inspecting the diversity at family level for *Firmicutes* (**a**) and *Proteobacteria* (**b**)

Fig. 5 Composition of genera of the main families of *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Bacillales*. The relative proportion of genera for *Bacillaceae*, *Alteromonadaceae*, *Rhodobacteraceae*, *Erythrobacteraceae*, and *Ectothiorhodospiraceae* is reported

water (Zweifel and Hagstrom 1995). Moreover, a high bacterial diversity was also found, suggesting that bacterial communities could have active roles in biogeochemical cycling on a sandy beach ecosystem. The detected biodiversity is mainly ascribed to *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* phyla and to the orders of *Flavobacteriales*, *Actynomicetales*, *Rhizobiales*, *Rhodobacterales*, *Bacillales*, *Alteromonadales*, *Chromatiales*, and *Oceanospirillales*. Within these groups, several well known marine taxa (Rusch et al. 2007) can be found (e.g., in *Oceanospirillales*, *Chromatiales* and *Rhodobacterales*), suggesting that a consistent part of sandy beaches microbiota is of marine origin.

Moreover, by comparing bacterial community fingerprinting (T-RFLP profiles) of sandy beaches of three different localities we have shown a considerable heterogeneity of samples, either due to location and to the position along the

Y-axis. In particular, locations showed different organic carbon content (see Table 1), which could be related to the differences observed between communities. However, no relationships between organic carbon percentage and ribotype richness was observed.

For what is of concern for the differentiation of communities along the Y-axis, the metagenetic analysis of Faraglioni beach showed a decreasing beta diversity and an increasing richness (alpha diversity) along the sea-to-land axis, suggesting a tendency i) for a lower differentiation when proceeding along the axis (may be due to more homogeneous environmental parameters), and ii) for an increasing number of taxa (may be linked to proximity with soil). Among the identified bacterial groups with differences of abundance along the axis, two main bacterial phyla have opposite trends of abundance along the Y-axis: *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria*. Within

Firmicutes, the main portion was constituted by sequences attributed to the spore-forming aerobic taxon of *Bacillaceae*, more abundant in the damp band, with genera *Bacillus* and *Halobacillus* being the most represented. Concerning *Proteobacteria*, the alphaproteobacterial family *Rhodobacteraceae* was the most abundant along all the transect. For this family a large number of sequences from the marine sulfur-oxidizing genus *Sulfitobacter* (Pukall et al. 1999) was detected, allowing one to hypothesize that some sulfur cycle may also occur on supralittoral sediments. Actually, most of bacterial taxa known to be abundant in sea water were also well present in the supralittoral sediments, as *Erythrobacteraceae*, *Ectothiorhodospiraceae* and *Alteromonadaceae*. *Erythrobacteraceae* (as also *Rhodobacteraceae*) is a family of *Alphaproteobacteria* composed by strains mainly isolated from aquatic environments, and the genus most represented in our dataset was *Erythrobacter* (see for instances (Lee et al. 2005 and references therein). *Ectothiorhodospiraceae* is a group of halophilic and haloalkaliphilic *Gammaproteobacteria* (Tourova et al. 2007), which includes strains with known "extremophyilic" phenotypes but also able to fix nitrogen and participate in sulfur and iron biogeochemical cycles (see for instance Hallberg et al. 2011). Indeed, the most abundant genus belonging to *Ectothiorhodospiraceae* found in our dataset was *Thiohalospira*, a genus of recently discovered chemolithoautotrophic, halophilic, sulfur-oxidizing gammaproteobacteria (Sorokin et al. 2008). *Alteromonadaceae* is another well known gammaproteobacterial family of marine strains (Ivanova and Mikhailov 2001). Here the genus *Marinobacter*, which include strains able to degrade hydrocarbons (Cui et al. 2008), was indeed dominant. Interestingly, within the most abundant phyla, also *Acidobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* were represented, with a discontinuous pattern along the Y-axis. In particular, *Actinobacteria* were less abundant in the mid-line sample, possibly implying the presence of both marine taxa for this phylum (Bull et al. 2005) (mainly colonizing the shore-line sample) and soil taxa (mainly colonizing the upper-line metasample). Intriguingly, *Bacteroidetes*, were abundant and equally well represented along the Y-axis samples, implying that bacteria in this phylum are not influences by the strongly different environmental conditions encountered along the axis (e.g., water availability etc.). It is worth noting that anaerobic *Bacteroidetes* are a main constituent of human gut flora, but recently members of this group have been found in marine sediments (Green-Garcìa and Engel 2012). Among the other bacterial phyla, *Cyanobacteria* and *Planctomycetes* were mainly present in the mid-line sample, suggesting the mid-line as a potential challenging environment in which primary colonization (for instance by cyanobacteria) may occur (Gorbushina and Broughton 2009) and on which the peculiar cellular differentiation of *Planctomycetes* in a sessile

and in a pelagic form, may help colonization (Fuerst, and Sagulenko 2011). Actually, *Planctomycetes* have been found as dominant in some intertidal sediment communities (Musat et al. 2006). Other phyla, as *Chloroflexi*, *Deinococcus−Thermus*, *Spirochaetes*, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Clamydiae* also show differential presence along the beach Y-axis, and may represent peculiar adaptation or marker taxa for the upper-line (e.g., *Clamydiae* and *Verrucomicrobia*). These phyla are relatively rare in our metagenetic dataset but, as recently shown for the rhizosphere (Dohrmann et al. 2013) as well as for marine sediments (Gobet et al. 2012), rare taxa may better explain ecological adaptation of communities than abundant taxa and may provide a "seed bank" for bacterial colonization.

Finally, concerning the possible functions promoted by bacterial communities here investigated, we did not detect the presence of genes related to nitrogen fixation (*nifH*), denitrification (*nosZ*) and methane oxidation (*pmoA*). However, some samples showed the presence of ammonia monoxygenase (*amoA*) genes. By comparison with the metagenetic sequencing of Faraglioni beach, we cannot a priori exclude that the (very few) detected *Nitrospira* may be mainly responsible for *amoA* genes. *Nitrospira* is a well known taxon of marine ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (see for instance Haaijer et al. 2013 and references therein). We can then speculate that bacterial ammonia oxidation could be occasionally present in sandy beaches and may contribute to the input of nitrite in the sandy beach ecosystem. However, more sampling along the seasons, as well as dedicated molecular studies with metagenetic sequencing of *amo*A genes, will be needed to infer possible functionality in the nitrogen biogeochemical cycle of sandy beach bacterial communities.

References

Bartram AK, Lynch MDJ, Stearns JC, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, Neufeld JD (2011) Generation of multimillion-sequence 16S rRNA gene libraries from complex microbial communities by assembling paired-End illumina reads. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:3846–3852

Bonadonna L, Cataldo C, Semproni M, Briancesco R (2003) Sanitary quality of marine sediments and sands from an Italian beach. New Microbiol 26:199–206

Bourne DG, McDonald IR, Murrell JC (2001) Comparison of pmoA PCR primer sets as tools for investigating methanotroph diversity in three Danish soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:3802–3809

Bowles JE (1988) Engineering properties of soils and their measurement. Mc Graw Hill International Edition, Singapore

Brown AC, McLachlan A (2002) Sandy shore ecosystems and the threats facing them: some predictions for the year 2025. Environ Conserv 29:62–77

Bull AT, Stach JEM, Ward AC, Goodfellow M (2005) Marine actinobacteria: perspectives, challenges, future directions. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 87:65–79

Cui Z, Lai Q, Dong C, Shao Z (2008) Biodiversity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria from deep sea sediments of the Middle Atlantic Ridge. Environ Microbiol 10:2138–2149

Dalmastri C, Chiarini L, Cantale C, Bevivino A, Tabacchioni S (1999) Soil type and maize cultivar affect the genetic diversity of maize root-associated *Burkholderia cepacia* populations. Microb Ecol 38: 273–284

Dohrmann AB, Kuting M, Junemann S, Jaenicke S, Schluter A, Tebbe CC (2013) Importance of rare taxa for bacterial diversity in the rhizosphere of Bt- and conventional maize varieties. ISME J 7:37–49

Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S (2007) Arlequin (version 3.0): An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol Bioinforma 1:47–50

Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro M (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131: 479–491

Figueira D, Barata M (2007) Marine fungi from two sandy beaches in Portugal. Mycologia 99:20–23

Fuerst JA, Sagulenko E (2011) Beyond the bacterium: planctomycetes challenge our concepts of microbial structure and function. Nat Rev Micro 9:403–413

Gerber GK, Onderdonk AB, Bry L (2012) Inferring dynamic signatures of microbes in complex host ecosystems. PLoS Comput Biol 8: e1002624

Giuntini E, Bazzicalupo M, Castaldini M, Fabiani A, Miclaus N, Piccolo R, Ranalli G, Santomassimo F, Zanobini S, Mengoni A (2006) Genetic diversity of dinitrogen-fixing bacterial communities in soil amended with olive husks. Ann Microbiol 56:83–88

Glavin DP, Cleaves HJ, Schubert M, Aubrey A, Bada JL (2004) New method for estimating bacterial cell abundances in natural samples by use of sublimation. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:5923–5928

Gloor GB, Hummelen R, Macklaim JM, Dickson RJ, Fernandes AD, MacPhee R, Reid G (2010) Microbiome profiling by illumina sequencing of combinatorial sequence-tagged PCR products. PLoS ONE 5:e15406

Gobet A, Böer SI, Huse SM, Van Beusekom JEE, Quince C, Sogin ML, Boetius A, Ramette A (2012) Diversity and dynamics of rare and of resident bacterial populations in coastal sands. ISME J 6:542–553

Gorbushina AA, Broughton WJ (2009) Microbiology of the atmosphere-rock interface: How biological interactions and physical stresses modulate a sophisticated microbial ecosystem. Annu Rev Microbiol 63:431–450

Green-Garcìa AM, Engel AS (2012) Bacterial diversity of siliciclastic sediments in a *Thalassia testudinum* meadow and the implications for *Lucinisca nassula* chemosymbiosis. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 112: 153–161

Haaijer SCM, Ji K, Van Niftrik L, Hoischen A, Speth DR, Jetten MSM, Sinninghe Damsté JS, Op Den Camp HJM (2013) A novel marine nitrite-oxidizing *Nitrospira* species from Dutch coastal North Sea water. Front Microbiol 4:60

Hallberg K, Hedrich S, Johnson D (2011) *Acidiferrobacter thiooxydans* gen. nov. sp. nov.; an acidophilic, thermo-tolerant, facultatively anaerobic iron- and sulfur-oxidizer of the family *Ectothiorhodospiraceae*. Extremophiles 15:271–279

Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 41:9

Head KH (1984) Manual of soil laboratory testing. Vol 1: soil classification and compaction tests. ELE International Ltd, London

Hill TCJ, Walsh KA, Harris JA, Moffett BF (2003) Using ecological diversity measures with bacterial communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 43:1–11

Holmes AJ, Costello A, Lidstrom ME, Murrell JC (1995) Evidence that participate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase may be evolutionarily related. FEMS Microbiol Lett 132:203–208

Horz H-P, Rich V, Avrahami S, Bohannan BJM (2005) Methane-oxidizing bacteria in a California upland grassland soil: diversity and response to simulated global change. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:2642–2652

Huse SM, Dethlefsen L, Huber JA, Welch DM, Relman DA, Sogin ML (2008) Exploring microbial diversity and taxonomy using SSU rRNA hypervariable tag sequencing. PLoS Genet 4:e1000255

Huson DH, Auch AF, Qi J, Schuster SC (2007) MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data. Genome Res 17:377–386

Ivanova EP, Mikhailov VV (2001) A new family, *Alteromonadaceae* fam. nov., including marine Proteobacteria of the genera *Alteromonas*, *Pseudoalteromonas*, *Idiomarina*, and *Colwellia*. Microbiology 70: 10–17

Jin HM, Lee HJ, Kim JM, Park MS, Lee K, Jeon CO (2011) *Litorimicrobium taeanense* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from a sandy beach. Int J Syst Evol Bacteriol 61:1392–1396

Lee K-B, Liu C-T, Anzai Y, Kim H, Aono T, Oyaizu H (2005) The hierarchical system of the *Alphaproteobacteria*: description of *Hyphomonadaceae* fam. nov., *Xanthobacteraceae* fam. nov. and *Erythrobacteraceae* fam. nov. Int J Syst Evol Bacteriol 55:1907– 1919

Ludwig B, Geisseler D, Michel K, Joergensen RG, Schulz E, Merbach I, Raupp J, Rauber R, Hu K, Niu L, Liu X (2011) Effects of fertilization and soil management on crop yields and carbon stabilization in soils. A review. Agron Sustain Dev 31:361–372

McLachlan A, Brown A (2006) The ecology of sandy shores. Elsevier, Amsterdam

Mengoni A, Bazzicalupo M (2002) The statistical treatment of data and the analysis of MOlecular VARiance (AMOVA) in molecular microbial ecology. Ann Microbiol 52:95–101

Mengoni A, Pini F, Huang L-N, Shu W-S, Bazzicalupo M (2009) Plant-by-plant variations of bacterial communities associated with leaves of the nickel-hyperaccumulator *Alyssum bertolonii* Desv. Microb Ecol 58:660–667

Mengoni A, Tatti E, Decorosi F, Viti C, Bazzicalupo M, Giovannetti L (2005) Comparison of 16S rRNA and 16S rDNA T-RFLP approaches to study bacterial communities in soil microcosms treated with chromate as perturbing agent. Microb Ecol 50:375–384

Misic C, Fabiano M (2005) Enzymatic activity on sandy beaches of the Ligurian Sea (NW Mediterranean). Microb Ecol 49:513–522

Mizrahi-Man O, Davenport ER, Gilad Y (2013) Taxonomic classification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes using short sequencing reads: evaluation of effective study designs. PLoS ONE 8:e53608

Mudryk ZJ (2005) Occurrence and distribution antibiotic resistance of heterotrophic bacteria isolated from a marine beach. Mar Pollut Bull 50:80–86

Musat N, Werner U, Knittel K, Kolb S, Dodenhof T, van Beusekom JEE, de Beer D, Dubilier N, Amann R (2006) Microbial community structure of sandy intertidal sediments in the North Sea, Sylt-Rømø Basin, Wadden Sea. Syst Appl Microbiol 29:333–348

Pastorelli R, Landi S, Trabelsi D, Piccolo R, Mengoni A, Bazzicalupo M, Pagliai M (2011) Effects of soil management on structure and activity of denitrifying bacterial communities. Appl Soil Ecol 49: 49–58

Pini F, Frascella A, Santopolo L, Bazzicalupo M, Biondi E, Scotti C, Mengoni A (2012) Exploring the plant-associated bacterial communities in *Medicago sativa* L. BMC Microbiol 12:78

Pukall R, Buntefuss D, Fruhling A, Rohde M, Kroppenstedt RM, Burghardt J, Lebaron P, Bernard L, Stackebrandt E (1999) *Sulfitobacter mediterraneus* sp. nov., a new sulfite-oxidizing member of the α-Proteobacteria. Int J Syst Bacteriol 49:513–519

Rich JJ, Heichen RS, Bottomley PJ, Cromack K, Myrold DD (2003) Community composition and functioning of denitrifying bacteria

from adjacent meadow and forest soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 5974–5982

Rosano-Hernandez MC, Ramìrez-Saad H, Fernandez-Linares L (2012) Petroleum-influenced beach sediments of the Campeche bank, Mexico: diversity and bacterial community structure assessment. J Environ Manag 95:S325–S331

Rusch DB, Halpern AL, Sutton G, Heidelberg KB, Williamson S, Yooseph S, Wu D, Eisen JA, Hoffman JM, Remington K, Beeson K, Tran B, Smith H, Baden-Tillson H, Stewart C, Thorpe J, Freeman J, Andrews-Pfannkoch C, Venter JE, Li K, Kravitz S, Heidelberg JF, Utterback T, Rogers YH, Falcón LI, Souza V, Bonilla-Rosso G, Eguiarte LE, Karl DM, Sathyendranath S, Platt T, Bermingham E, Gallardo V, Tamayo-Castillo G, Ferrari MR, Strausberg RL, Nealson K, Friedman R, Frazier M, Venter JC (2007) The sorcerer II global ocean sampling expedition: Northwest Atlantic through eastern tropical pacific. PLoS Biol 5:0398–0431

Schlacher TA, Dugan J, Schoeman DS, Lastra M, Jones A, Scapini F, McLachlan A, Defeo O (2007) Sandy beaches at the brink. Divers Distrib 13:556–560

Schlacher TA, Schoeman DS, Dugan J, Lastra M, Jones A, Scapini F, McLachlan A (2008) Sandy beach ecosystems: key features, sampling issues, management challenges and climate change impacts. Mar Ecol-Evol Perspect 29:70–90

Schloss PD, Handelsman J (2006) Toward a census of bacteria in soil. PLoS Comput Biol 2:e92

Shaw AK, Halpern AL, Beeson K, Tran B, Venter JC, Martiny JBH (2008) It's all relative: ranking the diversity of aquatic bacterial communities. Environ Microbiol 10:2200–2210

Simmons SL, Bazylinski DA, Edwards KJ (2007) Population dynamics of marine magnetotactic bacteria in a meromictic salt pond described with qPCR. Environ Microbiol 9:2162–2174

Sogin ML, Morrison HG, Huber JA, Welch DM, Huse SM, Neal PR, Arrieta JM, Herndl GJ (2006) Microbial diversity in the deep sea and the underexplored "rare biosphere". Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:12115–12120

Sorokin DY, Tourova TP, Muyzer G, Kuenen GJ (2008) Thiohalospira halophila gen. nov., sp. nov. and Thiohalospira alkaliphila sp. nov., novel obligately chemolithoautotrophic, halophilic, sulfur-oxidizing gammaproteobacteria from hypersaline habitats. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:1685–1692

Tabacchioni S, Chiarini L, Bevivino A, Cantale C, Dalmastri C (2000) Bias caused by using different isolation media for assessing the genetic diversity of a natural microbial population. Microb Ecol 40:169–176

Teplinskaia NG (1978) Microflora of the sandy beaches of the Odessa shoreline possessing lipolytic activity. Mikrobiol Z 40:709–715

Tourova TP, Spiridonova EM, Berg IA, Slobodova NV, Boulygina ES, Sorokin DY (2007) Phylogeny and evolution of the family Ectothiorhodospiraceae based on comparison of 16S rRNA, cbbL and nifH gene sequences. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:2387–2398

Trabelsi D, Mengoni A, Aouani ME, Mhamdi R, Bazzicalupo M (2009) Genetic diversity and salt tolerance of bacterial communities from two Tunisian soils. Ann Microbiol 59:25–32

Ugolini A, Ungherese G (2012) Sandhoppers as bioindicators of anthropogenic influence on Mediterranean sandy beaches. In: Stambler N (ed) Life in the Mediterranean Sea: a look at habitat changes. Nova Science Publisher, New York, pp 413–443

Ugolini A, Ungherese G, Somigli S, Galanti G, Baroni D, Borghini F, Cipriani N, Nebbiai M, Passaponti M, Focardi S (2008) The amphipod Talitrus saltator as a bioindicator of human trampling on sandy beaches. Mar Environ Res 65:349–357

Wackett LP (2013) Bacteria in sand. Environ Microbiol 15:2144–2145

Widmer F, Shaffer BT, Porteous LA, Seidler RJ (1999) Analysis of nifH gene pool complexity in soil and litter at a Douglas fir forest site in Oregon Cascade Mountain Range. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:374–380

Zweifel UL, Hagstrom A (1995) Total counts of marine bacteria include a large fraction of non-nucleoid-containing bacteria (ghosts). Appl Environ Microbiol 61:2180–2185