ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Microbiological, biochemical, physicochemical surface properties and biofilm forming ability of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*

Maria Dimopoulou¹ · Margareth Renault² · Marguerite Dols-Lafargue^{1,3} · Warren Albertin^{1,3} · Jean-Marie Herry² · Marie-Noëlle Bellon-Fontaine² · Isabelle Masneuf-Pomarede^{1,4}

Received: 9 April 2019 / Accepted: 25 July 2019 / Published online: 3 September 2019 ${\rm (}{\rm \bigcirc}$ Università degli studi di Milano 2019

Abstract

Purpose *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* is a serious source of concern for winemakers. The production of volatile phenols by the yeast species confers to wine unpleasant sensory characteristics which are unacceptable by the consumers and inevitably provoke economic loss for the wine industry. This ubiquitous yeast is able to adapt to all winemaking steps and to withstand various environmental conditions. Moreover, the ability of *B. bruxellensis* to adhere and colonize inert materials can be the cause of the yeast persistence in the cellars and thus recurrent wine spoilage. We therefore investigated the surface properties, biofilm formation capacity, and the factors which may affect the attachment of the yeast cells to surfaces with eight strains representative of the genetic diversity of the species.

Methods The eight strains of *B. bruxellensis* were isolated from different geographical and industrial fermentation origins. The cells were grown in synthetic YPD medium containing 1% (*w/v*) yeast extract (Difco Laboratories, Detroit), 2% (*w/v*) bacto peptone (Difco), and 1% (*w/v*) glucose. Surface physicochemical properties as electrophoretic mobility and adhesion to hydrocarbon of the cells were studied. The ability of the strains to form biofilm was quantified using a colorimetric microtiter 96-well polystyrene plate. Biochemical characteristics were examined by colorimetric methods as well as by chemical analysis.

Result Our results show that the biofilm formation ability is strain-dependent and suggest a possible link between the physicochemical properties of the studied strains and their corresponding genetic group.

Conclusion The capacity to detect and identify the strains of the spoilage yeast based on their biofilm formation abilities may help to develop more efficient cleaning procedures and preventing methods.

Keywords Brettanomyces bruxellensis · Wine spoilage · Biochemical properties · Physico-chemical surface properties · Biofilm formation

Introduction

The process of fermentation has been used for years to improve the shelf-life and the sensory properties of food and

Maria Dimopoulou marouladimo@aua.gr

- ¹ UR Oenologie EA 4577, USC 1366 INRA, Bordeaux INP, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France
- ² UMR Génie et Microbiologie des Procédés Alimentaires, GMPA, AgroParisTech, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France
- ³ ENSCBP, Bordeaux INP, 33600 Pessac, France
- ⁴ Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Sciences Agronomiques de Bordeaux-Aquitaine, Bordeaux, France

beverages. Even if nowadays, the fermentation is often carried out from monocultures of a single fermentative strain, the traditional process usually involves a multitude of different microorganisms naturally present in the environment (Liu et al. 2017). These microorganisms produce a vast variety of aromatic molecules, and while some of them ameliorate the sensory profile and satisfy the consumers, some others cause sensory defects and product rejection (Belda et al. 2017; Tempère et al. 2018). The B. bruxellensis species may belong to both categories, depending on the production process and the final product characteristics. This yeast species can be desirable in kombucha and in certain beer style production (e.g., Belgium lambic), while in wine, it is considered as the source of major organoleptic defects (Chatonnet et al. 1992, 1995; Steensels et al. 2015). Actually, the capacity of B. bruxellensis to produce volatile phenols, which impart a "stable" or "horse-sweat" odor, can cause a drop in wine

quality and in some cases the product becomes unfit for sale (Agnolucci et al. 2017).

Recently, the genome sequencing of B. bruxellensis demonstrated a great intraspecific genetic diversity and in particular, a variability of the ploidy level (Borneman et al. 2014; Fournier et al. 2017). A rapid, reliable, and discriminating method has been developed as a tool for genetic typing of B. bruxellensis strains using specific microsatellite markers (Albertin et al. 2014; Avramova et al. 2018a). Based on this method, a great number of B. bruxellensis isolates from various niches (beer, wine, cider, bioethanol, kombucha) and geographical areas were genotyped. The population structure analysis revealed three main genetic clusters and three subclusters associated with the strains ploidy level and substrates of isolation. Interestingly, the strain tolerance/resistance to sulfur dioxide, the main antimicrobial compound used in wine differs from one genetic cluster to the other, unraveling a strong link between genotype and this phenotypic trait (Avramova et al. 2018a, b). Other methods have been developed to control the spoilage yeast growth, as for example inactivation by heat or pressure, sterilizing filtration or through the use of ionized radiation (Delsart et al. 2016). However, these techniques are often expensive and may have a negative impact on sensory properties, which makes them incompatible with the production of high-quality wines. In addition, the majority of the current techniques do not systematically eliminate the entire population of B. bruxellensis or are ineffective when the cell population of the yeast is low (Guzzon et al. 2013). This situation may be explained by the high intraspecific genetic and phenotypic diversity observed within the yeast species that differentiates some strains and increases their adaptation mechanism (Di Toro et al. 2015; Guzzon et al. 2018). Indeed, the repeated use of high doses of the antimicrobial agent sulfur dioxide to control the development of B. bruxellensis during winemaking may have led to the emergence of more resistant strains which can tolerate the current used doses (Conterno et al. 2010; Curtin et al. 2012; Capozzi et al. 2016; Avramova et al. 2018b; Dimopoulou et al. 2019). Additionally, other wine stressors as ethanol, high sugar concentration, and temperature changes may also participate in the species adaptation mechanism involved in genetic diversity (Guzzon et al. 2018).

This yeast is able to survive and multiply in wine, especially in case of sluggish fermentation and difficulties of other species to monopolize the wine ecosystem (Renouf et al. 2006; Romano et al. 2008). Even if *B. bruxellensis* was detected at low level on grape berry, repetitive infections were observed in wine, suggesting that the cellar, rather than the vineyard, could be the main source of contamination (Garde-Cerdán and Ancín-Azpilicueta 2006; Barata et al. 2008; González-Arenzana et al. 2013; Rubio et al. 2015). Despite the fact that wine is not produced continuously throughout the year, yeast persistence in the cellars was demonstrated from year to year (Grangeteau et al. 2016). However, the mechanism by which yeasts persist in the winery is not yet elucidated.

Yeast cells possess a remarkable ability to adhere to abiotic surfaces, in particular in response to stress and nutrient limitation (Verstrepen and Klis 2006). Adhesion to surfaces and subsequent biofilm formation enable long-term survival of fungi and bacteria in unfavorable nutrient environments (Tek et al. 2018). Moreover, disinfectants are not able to penetrate the biofilm matrix, and possibly, the resistance to antimicrobial compounds or cleaning agents could be related to the ability of microorganism to form biofilm (Carpentier and Cerf 1993). Yeast adhesion is one of the most plastic and variable examined phenotype, while the attachment ability of closely genetic related strain could vary dramatically (Verstrepen and Klis 2006). Adhesion to abiotic surfaces is the first step in biofilm formation and depends on the physico-chemical properties of cells as well as of material surfaces. The cell surface properties are linked to the molecular composition of the wall and other external elements of the micro-organisms. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the attachment to plastic and mat formation requires Flo11p, a member of the large family of fungal cell surface glycoproteins (Reynolds and Fink 2001). The same authors showed that mat formation and cell architecture structure are modified as yeast ploidy level increases. Surface proteins such as adhesins can also increase the cell-surface hydrophobicity and promote hydrophobic interaction between the cells and abiotic surfaces (Kang and Choi 2005).

Joseph et al. (2007) showed that the majority of the *B. bruxellensis* isolates studied were able to produce biofilm onto polystyrene surface, upon long incubation time in the presence of low sugar concentration. However, this first study did not consider the genetic diversity of the species, nor the growth rate and yield of the studied strains.

In this paper, various methods were tested to examine the microbiological, biochemical, physicochemical surface properties and biofilm forming ability of a panel of strains of *B. bruxellensis*, representative of the species genetic diversity. A putative correlation between these different properties and the strain genotype has been examined.

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions

B. bruxellensis isolates were obtained from a variety of regions and fermented substrates, being part of the CRB Oenologie collection (Centre de Ressources Biologiques Enologie, Bordeaux, France). The eight strains used in this study and their genetic group (Avramova et al. 2018a) are listed in Table 1. Their distribution on the genetic dendrogram is presented in Fig. 1. Firstly, all strains were spotted onto YPD medium containing 1% (*w*/*v*) yeast extract (Difco Laboratories, Detroit), 2% (*w*/*v*) bacto peptone (Difco), 1% (*w*/*v*) glucose and agar (20 g/L) and incubated for 5 days at 25 °C. One centimeter squared of solid culture was then inoculated into 40-mL sterile Erlenmeyer flasks containing liquid YPD broth, placed at 25 °C at 150 rpm, for 48 h, and then transferred into 250-mL liquid YPD culture for 10 days until the stationary growth phase, collected at density from 2,89 (strain L0417) to 4 (strain CBS 2499 and AWRI1608).

Microbiological characteristics

Mat formation

Yeast strains were evaluated for their ability for mat formation as described by Reynolds and Fink (2001), Briefly, 10 μ L of liquid culture of each yeast in YPD at 10⁶ UFC/mL was spotted with a toothpick onto YPD with 1.5% (*w/v*) agar (poured 1 day before with YPD agar). The plates were wrapped with parafilm to avoid evaporation, then incubated for 17 days at 25 °C, and photographed using wide angle digital camera (Nikon, Coolpix P500). Analyses were performed in duplicate except for the strain GSP1504.

Biofilm biomass quantification to polystyrene microtiter plates

Biofilm formation was quantified using a colorimetric microtiter 96-well polystyrene plate (Thermo Scientific Nunc MicroWell) by a method adapted from O'Toole et al. (2000) (Tek et al. 2018). The eight strains were grown in YPD medium for 10 days until stationary growth phase and then inoculated in YPD or in wine-like medium (45% Sauvignon juice, 50% water, and 5% ethanol). The OD of inoculum was spectrophotometrically adjusted to 0.1 at 600 nm in order to calibrate all the strains at a similar initial population level and cells were suspended in YPD or in wine-like medium. The inoculated media were aliquoted

Table 1The eight B. bruxellensisstrains used in this study



Fig. 1 Position of the eight *B. bruxellensis* strains in a dendrogram of 1488 strains (Avramova et al. 2018a)

(200 μ L) in polystyrene microplate wells. Each strain was inoculated in eight replicate wells in two separate plates, while eight negative control wells containing only broth were also included in each plate. The plates were incubated without any agitation at 25 °C for 15 days in a hermetic plastic box containing a glass of water to create a highly humid atmosphere and avoid evaporation. After 15 days of incubation, the suspended cell population was estimated by optical absorbance (OD 600 nm) by using a single well in which the broth was mixed with a micropipette. The other wells were gently washed twice with 200 μ L of 0.9% (*w/v*)

Strain	Group color	Genetic group ^a	Substrate of isolation Red wine	
AWRI1499	Red	Triploid, wine		
L14190	Red	Triploid wine	Red wine	
L0417	Red	Triploid wine	Red wine	
1911-MX-V1	Dark cyan	Diploid, wine	Red wine	
CBS 2499	Dar kcyan	Diploid, wine	Red wine	
AWRI1608	Orange	Triploid, beer	Red wine	
GSP1504	Orange	Triploid, beer	Beer	
CBS 6055	Blue	Triploid tequila/bioethanol	Beer	

^a According to Avramova et al. 2018a

NaCl, dried in an inverted position and stained with 1% (w/v) crystal violet. The wells were rinsed again and the crystal violet was solubilized in 100 μ L of ethanol/acetone (80:20, v/v). The absorbance at 595 nm was determined using a microplate reader (Molecular devices).

Biochemical characteristics

Cell lipid extraction

After 10 days of growth in YPD medium, cells were collected and a sample corresponding to 2×10^9 cells was withdrawn. Cell concentration was estimated by flow cytometry and optical density (OD 600 nm). Then, each sample was washed twice with distilled water, frozen with liquid nitrogen, and conserved at -20 °C in Eppendorf tubes. From the frozen samples obtained previously, the membrane lipid extraction was realized according to the protocol of Tronchoni et al. (2012) with some slight modifications. In each tube, 1 g of glass beads (0.5 mm, Biospec Products), 700 µL of cold methanol, and 140 µL of EDTA 0.1 M were added and vigorously mixed $(4 \times 45 \text{ s})$ in a mini-bead-beater-8 (Biospec Products, Qiagen). By this way, the cell membrane wall was completely disordered and the liquid phase was separated from the glass beads by centrifugation and transferred into a 15-mL glass screw tube. Lipid extraction was performed in three steps, starting with the addition of 2.5 mL chloroform/methanol (1:1, v/v, for 45 min), centrifugation (3000 rpm, 5 min), and recuperation of the inferior phase. Then, 2.5 mL of chloroform/methanol (2:1, v/v, for 45 min) was added, and the inferior phase was recuperated as before. The last extraction was realized by adding 2.5 mL chloroform/methanol (1:2, v/v, for 45 min). The inferior organic phases was transferred to a 15-mL glass screw tube and cleaned twice by adding KCl 0.88% (1/4 of a total volume of the extract). After vortexing and cooling at 4 °C for 30 min, the samples were centrifuged (3000 rpm, 5 min) and the inferior organic phase was collected and stored at - 80 °C until analysis.

Fatty acid determination

The extracted lipids were concentrated to dryness under nitrogen stream and then methylated by the presence of methanol and sulfuric acid (5% v/v) for 2 h at 85 °C in the presence of 50 µg heptanoic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) as internal standard. Then, the addition in the same tube of 1 mL of NaCl (2.5% w/v) and 2 mL of hexane enabled the extraction of methylic esters from the fatty acids, which were concentrated to dryness under nitrogen stream. Finally, 200 µL of hexane was added to fatty acid extract and their relative concentrations were determined by gas chromatography coupled with flame ionization, as described by Redón et al. (2009).

Exopolysaccharide quantification

After 10 days of growth in YPD broth, soluble exopolysaccharides (EPS) liberated by the yeasts were collected in the culture supernatant. The total excreted polysaccharides were precipitated (Dimopoulou et al. 2016) and their concentration was determined according to the anthrone-sulfuric acid method with glucose as standard (Ludwig and Goldberg 1956). For each sample, the polymer precipitation and assays were done in triplicate. The EPS quantification results were normalized by the cell population after 10 days of growth (OD 600 nm).

Surface physicochemical properties

Preparation of yeast suspension characteristics

The physicochemical characterization of *B. bruxellensis* strains was carried out for cells grown in YPD broth. Briefly, cells were harvested by centrifugation (Eppendorf) at 4 °C for 10 min at 7000 g and then washed twice with and re-suspended in the relevant suspending liquid (NaCl 150 mM or 1.5 mM). All experiments were performed on three separately grown cultures.

Measurement of electrophoretic mobility (EM)

The electrophoretic mobility (EM) of yeast in a sodium chloride solution (1.5 mM) was measured as a function of the pH within the range of 2 to 5, adjusted by the addition of HNO₃. The concentration of the suspension was approximately at 10^7 cells/mL. Measurements were taken in a 50 V/cm electric field with a laser zetameter (CAD Instruments, France). For each measurement, results were based on the automated video analysis of about 200 cells. Each experiment was performed twice.

Microbial adhesion to hydrocarbon

Microbial adhesion to hydrocarbon (MATH) enables the evaluation of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic character of the cell surface of *B. bruxellensis* strains (Bellon-Fontaine et al. 1996). Experimentally, yeast suspension (1.5 mL) was mixed with 0.25 mL of each solvent (hexadecane, decane). The mixture was stirred for 2 min to form an emulsion and a rest period of 15 min allowed the complete separation of the two phases. The optical density (OD) of the aqueous phase and that of the initial cell suspension (OD₀) were measured at 400 nm. The microbial affinity to each solvent was calculated using the formula:

% affinity =
$$\left(1 - \frac{OD}{OD_0}\right) \times 100$$

Each experiment was performed twice.

Statistical analysis

Kruskal-Wallis statistical test (agricolae package, R, p value < 0.05) and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed using R-package.

Results

Microbiological characteristics

Eight strains of *B. bruxellensis* belonging to distinct genetic groups were used: two diploid strains (belonging to the genetic group dark cyan, CBS2499_like), three triploid strains (red group AWRI1499_like), two triploid strains (orange group AWRI1608_like), and a triploid tequila/bioethanol group strain (blue group, CBS5512 like) (Table 1, Fig. 1).

The mat formation of the eight strains was observed after 17 days on YPD plate (Fig. 2). The diameter of the mats varied between 9 mm (1911-MX-V1) and 15 mm (CBS 6055). All the other strains displayed mats with a diameter ranging from 11 to 13 mm. CBS 6055 was the only strain exhibiting mat with rough edges. No relation between ploidy level and preliminary mat formation was observed.

The *B. bruxellensis* strains were then studied for their biofilm forming ability in polystyrene microtiter plates, in two different media, YPD and a Sauvignon must derive wine-like medium. As shown in Fig. 3, the medium composition changed significantly the biofilm formation ability of the strains belonging to the dark cyan, red, and blue genetic groups. More precisely, all the strains in these groups



Fig. 3 Biofilm formation ability of the eight *B. bruxellensis* strains in two different growth media; wine like and YPD. The colors represent the genetic group of the strains. Upper stars or "NS" denote, respectively, significant difference between media or "non-significance" as defined by Kruskal-Wallis statistical test (agricolae package, R, *p* value < 0.05)

expressed increased biofilm capacity in wine-like medium compared with in YPD broth. On the contrary, the two strains in the orange genetic group showed a similar performance in wine-like and YPD broth. Furthermore, in wine-like medium, the strain CBS 2499 displayed the highest biofilm formation ability whereas CBS 6055 displayed the lowest biofilm formation ability.

Biochemical characteristics

Fatty acid composition

Fatty acid content was also studied in the eight selected strains. The total fatty acid content was in the same order of magnitude for the eight strains. However, the strains differed by the fatty acid proportions. Interestingly, the SFA (saturated fatty acids) to MUFA (monounsaturated fatty acids) ratio was similar for each genetic subpopulation studied (Fig. 4). The highest content of SFAs, 91% of the total membrane fatty acids amount, was observed for the strains L14190, L0417, and AWRI1499. These three strains belonging to the red genetic group were significantly different from most of the strains in the other groups, with a mean SFA/MUFA ratio more than twice higher than the ratio of the other three genetic







Fig. 4 Ratio of saturated fatty acid to monounsaturated fatty acid of the eight tested strains of *B. bruxellensis*. The colors represent the genetic group of the strains. Upper letters represent significance groups as defined by Kruskal-Wallis statistical test (agricolae package, R, *p* value < 0.05)

groups. On the contrary, the strain AWRI1608 showed the lowest ratio level, composed at 73% of SFA.

Exopolysaccharide production

The *B. bruxellensis* strains were examined for their ability to liberate exopolysaccharides (EPS) after growth in YPD medium for 10 days until reaching stationary growth phase. The results are presented as the ratio of total amount of EPS (mg/L) produced to the cell population (OD at 600 nm) (Fig. 5). The best-producing strains were CBS 6055 and L0417, which liberated more than 100 mg/L/OD of soluble exopolysaccharides in the culture medium. On the other hand, the strain 1911-MX-V1 liberated less than 40 mg/L/OD of EPS. Even if the EPS production ability of the eight studied strains could not be significantly distinguished according to their genetic group, the strains of the dark cyan genetic group displayed the lower EPS liberating ability, with a mean production of 51.5 mg/L/OD.



Fig. 5 Exopolysaccharide production of the eight tested strains of *B. bruxellensis*. The colors represent the genetic group of the strains. Upper letters represent significance groups as defined by Kruskal-Wallis statistical test (agricolae package, R, *p* value < 0.05)



Fig. 6 Electrophoretic mobility according to the pH of the eight strains of *B. bruxellensis.* The colors represent the genetic group of the strains. The standard deviations of EM do not exceed 0.2 for all yeasts

Surface physicochemical characteristics

The electrophoretic mobilities (EM) of the eight *B. bruxellensis* strains were measured at seven different pH values (from 2 to 5). The EM values of each strain suspended in 1.5 mM NaCl revealed negatively charged cells at pH values between 2 and 5 (Fig. 6). No isoelectric point could be determined within the range of pH values investigated but a reduction in mobility could be observed at pH 2. In our experimental conditions and whatever the tested pH, AWRI 1608, GSP 1504, and CBS 6055 (orange and blue genetic group) exhibited greater electronegativity than AWRI 1499, L0417, L14190, CBS 2499, and 1911-MX-V (red and dark cyan genetic group). Moreover, from an oenological point of view, in wine conditions (pH close to 3.5), the two strains from the orange genetic group were the less electronegative.

The eight *B. bruxellensis* strains were also assayed for their affinity to apolar solvents, decane and hexadecane, with the MATH analysis test. According to our results, the affinity of *B. bruxellensis* strains for hexadecane or decane values ranged from 0 to 25.6% (Table 2) reflecting hydrophilic surface characteristics. It could be noted that in the dark cyan group, only CBS 2499 strain displayed the higher affinity for both solvents.

PCA

Principal component analysis of combined data is shown in Fig. 7. In this representation, the abscissa represented 38.5% of the total variation from the original data set and was mainly correlated with a lower negative charge of yeast cells and the mat formation. The ordinate, which represented 29.6% of the total variation from the original data set, was mainly correlated with EPS production. This axis was also negatively correlated with yeast cell affinity for nonpolar solvents as well as biofilm formation in wine. This principal component analysis clearly distinguishes the yeast strains according to their genetic group.

Strains Solvent	1911-MX-V1	CBS 2499	AWRI1499	L0417	L14190	AWRI1608	GSP1504	CBS 6055
% HD	0	21.5	0	0	0	1.7	8.1	0.3
% D	0	25.6	0	0	0	2.8	11.9	2.9

 Table 2
 Percentage of affinity to the hexadecane and decane used in the MATH analysis for the eight *B. bruxellensis* strains studied. The standard deviations of % affinity do not exceed 0.5

Discussion

In this study, different protocols were developed and applied in order to examine the microbiological, biochemical, physicochemical surface properties and biofilm forming ability of a panel of strains of *B. bruxellensis*, representative of the species genetic diversity. Considering the high intra-species genetic diversity of *B. bruxellensis*, our study considered isolates representative of the different genetic groups of the species (Avramova et al. 2018a).

Different mat diameters and aspect were reported for the first time. By using isogenic strains from haploid to tetraploid of Saccharomyces, Reynolds and Fink (2001) reported an inverse relation between ploidy level and mat formation. No such relationship has been observed in this study. However, mat diameter has been correlated with the yeast surface charge related to the genetic group. In the same way and depending on the genetic group, the biofilm formation into polystyrene wells was differently affected by the growth medium. Saccharomyces cerevisiae biofilm forming ability was shown to be linked to glucose levels, with a reduction in complete absence of glucose (Reynolds and Fink 2001). This was shown to depend to FLO11 transcription and glucose repression (Gagiano et al. 1999). In our experimental condition, glucose but also ethanol levels were higher in winelike medium compared to YPD, and two strains (1911-MX-V1 and CBS 2499) showed higher biofilm forming ability in the medium with the highest glucose concentration. However, opposite results were obtained for the two strains of the orange genetic group as biofilm formation ability was not significantly

modulated by medium composition as noticed for the other strains, thus suggesting different adhesion or regulation mechanisms according to the genetic group considered. While the wine-like medium we used here is different from an actual wine, these results outline that environments trigger different responses for traits of oenological interest. The key factors could be identified through experiments in various environments and/or different wines.

Interestingly, this is the first time that the membrane total free fatty acid composition and the exopolysaccharide liberation capacity of the species have been studied. The total free fatty acid membrane composition has been used in the past as a typing method (Rozès et al. 1992) and was shown to pay an important role in the cell permeability and adaptation mechanism especially under hostile environmental conditions like wine. Genes involved in lipid metabolism were showed to be enriched in B. bruxellensis genome with some genes that may contribute to ethanol tolerance of the species (Woolfit et al. 2007). Recent study showed that the presence of sulfites leads to increased cell permeability (Longin et al. 2016). The three strains of the red genetic group are composed of a higher ratio of SFA/MUFA compared to the other genetic groups. Taking into consideration that this genetic group gathered mainly tolerant/resistant strains to high concentration of sulfur dioxide (Avramova et al. 2018a), a link between the two tested phenotypic traits but also with the genetic group could be suggested. Regarding end-point exopolysaccharide liberation, the strains CBS 6055 and L0417 displayed the highest production capacity, which may be due to either distinct mannoprotein composition or cell wall dynamics

Fig. 7 Principal component analysis of combined data





or to premature cell lysis compared to other strains. Nevertheless, as the mechanism in biofilm formation is complex, a direct link between the total amount of EPS and biofilm formation cannot be made for the studied strains. Differences are also noted concerning the physicochemical properties of the eight yeasts analyzed which all presented hydrophilic and negatively charged profiles. Indeed, EM measurements clearly indicate a greater electronegativity of the strains of the orange and blue genetic group in comparison with strains of red or dark cyan genetic group. All these results suggest distinct wall composition and metabolism traits which can possibly affect the biofilm production capacity. Indeed, the wall polysaccharides are the first and most abundant component of the cell which comes in contact with the surfaces and can affect the microbial colonization ability (Ghafoor et al. 2011; Sheppard and Howell 2016). According to previous studies, they may contribute positively or negatively to biofilm formation (Verstrepen and Klis 2006; Legras et al. 2016). From a general point of view, even if the strain number was low, our present study confirmed the fact that B. bruxellensis shows a great variability not only at genetic but also phenotypic level. The tested strains are clearly different regarding their cell surface properties and this may have significant consequences, firstly on their ability to primarily adhere to surfaces and secondly on their biofilm formation capacity. Additional work with a higher number of strains representative of the genetic groups and a close examination of the biofilm formation steps is now needed. A simple method, based on PCR, is now available to identify the strains contaminating the cellar (Albertin et al. 2017). Thus, the prediction of their B. bruxellensis persistence abilities seems to be an indispensable tool for the winemakers in order to better adapt their winemaking techniques and especially their cleaning procedures.

Funding This work was supported by funds from FranceAgriMer. Lipids analysis was performed at the lipidomic plateform of Bordeaux University.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval This work does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. The study has been also published as a preprint version with doi https://doi.org/10.1101/579144.

References

Agnolucci M, Tirelli A, Cocolin L, Toffanin A (2017) *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* yeasts: impact on wine and winemaking. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 33(10):180

- Albertin W, Panfili A, Miot-Sertier C, Goulielmakis A, Delcamp A, Salin F, Lonvaud-Funel A, Curtin C, Masneuf-Pomarede I (2014) Development of microsatellite markers for the rapid and reliable genotyping of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* at strain level. Food Microbiol 42:188–195
- Albertin W, Masneuf-Pomarede I, Peltier E (2017) Method for analysing a sample to detect the presence of sulphite-resistant yeasts of the *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* species and kit for implementing same. France patent no. PCT/FR2016/052701
- Avramova M, Cibrario A, Peltier E, Coton M, Coton E, Schacherer J, Spano G, Capozzi V, Blaiotta G, Salin F, Dols-Lafargue M, Grbin P, Curtin C, Albertin W, Masneuf-Pomarede I (2018a) *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* population survey reveals a diploid-triploid complex structured according to substrate of isolation and geographical distribution. Sci Rep 8(1):4136
- Avramova M, Vallet-Courbin A, Maupeu J, Masneuf-Pomarède I, Albertin W (2018b) Molecular diagnosis of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* sulfur dioxide sensitivity through genotype specific method. Front Microbiol 9:1260
- Barata A, Caldeira J, Botelheiro R, Pagliara D, Malfeito-Ferreira M, Loureiro V (2008) Survival patterns of *Dekkera bruxellensis* in wines and inhibitory effect of Sulphur dioxide. Int J Food Microbiol 121:201–207
- Belda I, Zarraonaindia I, Perisin M, Palacios A, Acedo A (2017) From vineyard soil to wine fermentation: microbiome approximations to explain the "terroir" concept. Front Microbiol 8:821
- Bellon-Fontaine MN, Rault J, Van Oss C (1996) Microbial adhesion to solvents: a novel method to determine the electron-donor/electronacceptor or Lewis acid-base properties of microbial cells. Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces 7:47–53
- Borneman AR, Zeppel R, Chambers PJ, Curtin CD (2014) Insights into the *Dekkera bruxellensis* genomic landscape: comparative genomics reveals variations in ploidy and nutrient utilisation potential amongst wine isolates. PLoS Genet 13(2):10
- Capozzi V, Di Toro MR, Grieco F, Michelotti V, Salma M, Lamontanara A, Russo P, Orrù L, Alexandre H, Spano G (2016) Viable but not Culturable (VBNC) state of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in wine: new insights on molecular basis of VBNC behaviour using a transcriptomic approach. Food Microbiol 59:196–204
- Carpentier and Cerf (1993) Biofilms and their consequences with particular reference to hygiene in the food industry, J Appl Bacteriol 75: 499–511
- Chatonnet P, Dubourdieu D, Boidron JN (1992) Incidence des conditions de fermentation et d'élevage des vins blancs secs en barriques sur leur composition en substances cédées par le bois de chêne. Sci Aliment 12:665–685
- Chatonnet P, Dubourdieu D, Boidron JN (1995) The influence of Brettanomyces/Dekkera sp. yeasts and lactic acid Bacteria on the Ethylphenol content of red wines. Am J Enol Vitic 46:463–468
- Conterno L, Fondazione E, Henick-Kling T (2010) Brettanomyces/ Dekkera off-flavours and other wine faults associated with microbial spoilage, in: Reynolds, a.G. (Ed.), managing wine quality, Woodhead publishing series in food science, technology and nutrition. Reynolds, Andrew G. managing wine quality. Am J Enol Vitic 57:139–147
- Curtin C, Kennedy E, Henschke PA (2012) Genotype-dependent sulphite tolerance of Australian *Dekkera (Brettanomyces) bruxellensis* wine isolates. Lett Appl Microbiol 55:56–61
- Delsart C, Grimi N, Boussetta N, Miot Sertier C, Ghidossi R, Vorobiev E, Mietton PM (2016) Impact of pulsed-electric field and high-voltage electrical discharges on red wine microbial stabilization and quality characteristics. J Appl Microbiol 120:152–164
- Di Toro MR, Capozzi V, Beneduce L, Alexandre H, Tristezza M, Durante M, Spano G (2015) Intraspecific biodiversity and 'spoilage potential' of Brettanomyces bruxellensis in Apulian wines LWT-Food Science and Technology 60(1):102–108

- Dimopoulou M, Bardeau T, Ramonet PY, Miot-Certier C, Claisse O, Doco T, Petrel M, Lucas P, Dols-Lafargue M (2016) Exopolysaccharides produced by *Oenococcus oeni*: from genomic and phenotypic analysis to technological valorization. Food Microbiol 53:10–17
- Dimopoulou M, Hatzikamari M, Masneuf-Pomarede I, Albertin W (2019) Sulfur dioxide response of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* strains isolated from Greek wine. Food Microbiol 78:155–163
- Fournier T, Gounot JS, Freel K, Cruaud C, Lemainque A, Aury JM, Wincker P, Schacherer J, Friedrich A (2017) High-Quality de Novo Genome Assembly of the *Dekkera bruxellensis* Yeast Using Nanopore MinION Sequencing. G3 (Bethesda) 7(10):3243–3250
- Gagiano M, van Dyk D, Bauer F, Lambrechts MG, Pretorius IS (1999) Msn1p/Mss10p, Mss11p and Muc1p/Flo11p are part of a signal transduction pathway downstream of Mep2p regulating invasive growth and pseudohyphal differentiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Microbiol 31:103–116
- Garde-Cerdán T, Ancín-Azpilicueta C (2006) Review of quality factors on wine ageing in oak barrels. Trends Food Sci Technol 17:438–447
- Ghafoor A, Hay ID, Rehm BHA (2011) Role of exopolysaccharides in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm formation and architecture. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:5238–5246
- González-Arenzana L, Santamaría P, López R, Garijo P, Gutiérrez AR, Garde-Cerdán T, López-Alfaro I (2013) Microwave technology as a new tool to improve microbiological control of oak barrels: a preliminary study. Food Control 30:536–539
- Grangeteau C, Gerhards D, von Wallbrunn C, Alexandre H, Rousseaux S, Guilloux-Benatier M (2016) Persistence of two non-Saccharomyces yeasts (Hanseniaspora and Starmerella) in the cellar. Front Microbiol 7:268
- Guzzon R, Nardin T, Micheletti O, Nicolini G, Larcher R (2013) Antimicrobial activity of ozone. Effectiveness against the main wine spoilage microorganisms and evaluation of impact on simple phenols in wine. Aust J Grape Wine Res 19:180–188
- Guzzon R, Larcher R, Guarcello R, Francesca N, Settanni L, Moschetti G (2018) Spoilage potential of brettanomyces bruxellensis strains isolated from Italian wines. Food Res Int 105:668–677
- Joseph L, Kumar G, Su E, Bisson LF (2007) Adhesion and biofilm production by wine isolates of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*. Am J Enol Vitic 58:373–378
- Kang S, Choi H (2005) Effect of surface hydrophobicity on the adhesion of *S. cerevisiae* onto modified surfaces by poly (styrene-ran-sulfonic acid) random copolymers. Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces 46:70–77
- Legras JL, Moreno-Garcia J, Zara S, Zara G, Garcia-Martinez T, Mauricio JC, Mannazzu I, Coi AL, Bou Zeidan M, Dequin S, Moreno J, Budroni M (2016) Flor yeast: new perspectives beyond wine aging. Front Microbiol 7:503
- Liu Y, Rousseaux S, Tourdot-Maréchal R, Sadoudi M, Gougeon R, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Alexandre H (2017) Wine microbiome: a dynamic world of microbial interactions. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 57: 856–873
- Longin C, Degueurce C, Julliat F, Guilloux-Benatier M, Rousseaux S, Alexandre H (2016) Efficiency of population-dependent sulfite

against *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in red wine. Food Res Int 89: 620–630

- Ludwig TG, Goldberg HJV (1956) The Anthrone method for the determination of carbohydrates in foods and in Oral rinsing. J Dent Res 35(1):90–94
- O'Toole G, Kaplan HB, Kolter R (2000) Biofilm formation as microbial development. Annu Rev Microbiol 54:49–79
- Redón M, Guillamón JM, Mas A, Rozès N (2009) Effect of lipid supplementation upon *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* lipid composition and fermentation performance at low temperature. Eur Food Res Technol 228:833–840
- Renouf V, Falcou M, Miot-Sertier C, Perello MC, De Revel G, Lonvaud-Funel A (2006) Interactions between *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* and the other yeast species during the first steps of winemaking. J Appl Microbiol 100:1208–1219
- Reynolds T, Fink GR (2001) Bakers' yeast, a model for fungal biofilm formation. Science 291:878–881
- Romano A, Perello MC, de Revel G, Lonvaud-Funel A (2008) Growth and volatile compound production by *Brettanomyces/Dekkera bruxellensis* in red wine. J Appl Microbiol 104(6):1577–1585
- Rozès N, Garcia-Jares C, Larue F, Lonvaud-Funel A (1992) Differentiation between fermenting and spoilage yeasts in wine by total free fatty acid analysis. J Sci Food Agric 59:351–357
- Rubio P, Garijo P, Santamaria P, Lopez R, Martinez J, Gutierrez A (2015) Influence of oak origin and ageing on wine spoilage by *Brettanomyces* yeasts. Food Control 54:176–180
- Sheppard DC, Howell PL (2016) Biofilm exopolysaccharides of pathogenic Fungi: lessons from Bacteria. J Biol Chem 291:12529–12537
- Steensels J, Daenen L, Malcorps P, Derdelinckx G, Verachtert H, Verstrepen KJ (2015) *Brettanomyces* yeasts–from spoilage organisms to valuable contributors to industrial fermentations. Int J Food Microbiol 206:24–38
- Tek EL, Sundstrom JF, Gardner JM, Oliver SG, Jiranek V (2018) Evaluation of the ability of commercial wine yeasts to form biofilms (mats) and adhere to plastic: implications for the microbiota of the winery environment. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94(2):fix188
- Tempère S, Marchal A, Barbe JC, Bely M, Masneuf-Pomarede I, Marullo P, Albertin W (2018) The complexity of wine: clarifying the role of microorganisms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 102:3995–4007
- Tronchoni J, Rozès N, Querol A, Guillamón JM (2012) Lipid composition of wine strains of Saccharomyces kudriavzevii and Saccharomyces cerevisiae grown at low temperature. Int J Food Microbiol 155:191–198
- Verstrepen KJ, Klis FM (2006) Flocculation, adhesion and biofilm formation in yeasts. Mol Microbiol 60:5–15
- Woolfit M, Rozpedowska E, Piskur J, Wolfe KH (2007) Genome survey sequencing of the wine spoilage yeast *Dekkera (Brettanomyces)* bruxellensis. Eukaryot Cell 6:721–733

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.