

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 

# Antimicrobial metabolites from Probiotics, *Pleurotus ostreatus* and their co-cultures against foodborne pathogens isolated from ready-to-eat foods



Oluwaferanmi Esther Bamisi<sup>1</sup>, Clement Olusola Ogidi<sup>2\*</sup> and Bamidele Juliet Akinyele<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** The incidence of foodborne pathogens in ready- to-eat (RTE) can be attributed to various foodborne diseases. Most of the isolated microorganisms from RTE foods are resistant to common antibiotics and thus, resulted to treatment failure when commercially available antibiotics are administered. However, the secondary metabolites secreted by microorganisms can serve as alternative therapy that are reliable and safe. Secondary metabolites obtained from mono- and co-culture microorganisms can be identified and utilized as sources of new antibiotics. In this study, antimicrobial activity of secondary metabolites from *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Pleurotus ostreatus*, and their co-cultures were tested against foodborne pathogens isolated from RTE foods using agar well diffusion. The bioactive compounds in the metabolites were identified using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

**Results** From a total of 100 RTE foods examined, *Salmonella enterica*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (subsp *ozaenae*), *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Clostridium perfringes*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Listeria monocytogens*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Penicillium chrysogenum*, *Aspergillus flavus*, and *Aspergillus niger* were isolated and displayed multiple antibiotic resistance. The secondary metabolites secreted by co-culture of *L. fermentum* + *P. ostreatus* + *S. cerevisiae*, and co-culture of *P. ostreatus* + *S. cerevisiae* have the highest ( $P \le 0.05$ ) zones of inhibition (23.70 mm) and (21.10 mm) against *E. coli*, respectively. Metabolites from mono-cultured *L. fermentum*, *P. ostreatus*, and *S. cerevisiae* showed zones of inhibition against indicator microorganisms with values ranging from 8.80 to 11.70 mm, 9.00 to 14.30 mm, and 9.30 to 13.00 mm, respectively. Some of the bioactive compounds found in the metabolites of co-cultured microorganisms were alpha-linolenic acid (25.71%), acetic acid 3-methylbutyl ester (13.83%), transsqualene (12.39%), pentadecylic acid (11.68%), 3- phenyllactic acid (30.13%), linolelaidic acid methyl ester (15.63%), and 4-O-methylmannose (53.74%).

**Conclusion** RTE foods contain multiple antibiotics resistance pathogens. The pronounced antimicrobial activity of the secondary metabolites against microorganisms from RTE foods could be attributed to the presence of

\*Correspondence: Clement Olusola Ogidi clementogidi@yahoo.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

bioactive compounds in the metabolites. These metabolites can be exploited as alternative food preservatives, biopharmaceuticals and can be used towards better health delivering systems.

**Keywords** Lactobacillus fermentum, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Prebiotic, Co-cultivation, Antimicrobial activity, Functional foods

## Introduction

Foodborne pathogens cause different diseases leading to morbidities, mortalities and high financial burden on healthcare costs, particularly among under developing and developing countries (Bintsis 2017). World Health Organization (WHO) reveals that an estimated 600 million people are suffering from foodborne diseases, while up to 420,000 deaths has been recorded (WHO, 2022). The issue of food safety is of concern and progressively becoming a challenge to public health. Bacteria, fungi, viruses, parasites, and microbial toxins in foods are the biological threats attributed to acute and chronic foodborne diseases (Todd 2014). Combinations of antibiotics are often used for the treatment of foodborne diseases to achieve success. The application of antibiotic therapy for the treatments of foodborne diseases has tremendously increased in public health and often, habitually abused. Nowadays, such development is contributing to the emergence and occurrence of multiple antibioticresistant pathogens, leading to treatment failure, increase mortality as well as treatment costs, reduced infection control efficiency, and spread of resistant pathogens from hospital to communities (Hashempour-Baltork et al. 2019). Ready-to- eat (RTE) foods are considered food safety hazards, being major sources of foodborne pathogens to consumers (Abalkhail 2023). Contamination of RTE foods occurred through poor hygiene, the quality of cooking ingredients, inappropriate storage of foods for extended periods, and improper food preparation techniques, which had led to occurrence of wide range of foodborne bacteria, fungi, viruses, and protozoans (Bintsis 2017). The microorganisms isolated from RTE foods displayed a wide range of multiple antibiotic resistance, causing several foodborne disease outbreaks and thus, requires pressing attentions.

The genetic variation in bacterial populations, mutations that render antibiotics ineffective, plasmid exchange within the bacterial colony, resulting to proliferation of resistant gene and thus, contribute to multiple antibiotic resistance (Munita and Arias 2016). The presence of extended-spectrum antibiotic resistance genes in bacteria thwarts the selection of therapeutic agents and thus, increase the treatment failure witnessing in available antibiotics, and cause adverse clinical complications (Rajaei et al. 2021). However, exploitation of novel natural metabolites from microorganisms is required to discover and develop new antimicrobial agents as a strategy to suppress the emergence of multi-drug resistance foodborne pathogens.

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) notably referred to as probiotics, are capable of producing a variety of byproducts like bacteriocins, amines, short-chain fatty acids, vitamins, and exopolysaccharides due to their ability to utilize macromolecular substances. Most of these secondary metabolites have therapeutic values and thus, have expanded applications in the food, pharmaceutical, and agricultural sectors (Wang et al. 2021). Antimicrobial potentials of LAB are attributed to their ability to create a competitive environment and secrete inhibitory substances, which impede the action of food spoilage by pathogenic microorganisms (Ibrahim et al. 2021). Saccharomyces cerevisiae is a species of yeast, commonly used for various industrial applications and genetic studies due to its dynamic activities during fermentation such as resilience to adverse conditions of osmolarity, low pH, remarkable tolerance to high sugar concentrations, production of aromatic, volatile compounds, and enzymes with antimicrobial activities (Parapouli et al. 2020). Probiotic potentials of S. cerevisiae occur as results of extracellular protease, secretion of inhibitory proteins, stimulating immunoglobulin A, acquisition and elimination of secreted toxins, killer toxins, sulfur dioxide, and other secondary metabolites (Fakruddin et al. 2017). S. cerevisiae is a known probiotic yeast with preferred influences during winemaking, baking, and brewing since ancient times, and therefore, serve as a recommended probiotic for the prevention and treatment of antibiotic-related diarrhea, including Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea (Czerucka and Rampal 2019). Pleurotus ostreatus; markedly known as a macrofungus and its fruiting body can be consumed by people all over the world due to its taste, flavour, nutritional values, and its pronounced bio-functionalities such antimicrobial, antidiabetic, anticholestrolic, antiarthritic, antioxidant, anticancer, and antiviral activities (Torres-Martínez et al. 2022). P. ostreatus act as prebiotic to enhance proliferation of gut microorganisms due to varying degree of secondary metabolites like phenolic compounds, terpenoids, and lectins (Törős et al. 2023).

Co-cultivation is a biological process that involved growing two or multiple microorganisms together to take advantage of their synergistic interactions to produce secondary metabolites and to increase the yields of the new metabolites (Rusu et al. 2023). Co-cultivation of suitable microorganisms has helped to identify and develop new biotechnological substances for the production of enzymes, food additives, preservatives, antimicrobial substances, and microbial fuel cells (Bader et al. 2010; Peng et al. 2021). Utilization of co-cultures microorganisms appears to be advantageous over a single microorganism because of their potential for synergistic metabolic pathways of involved microbial strains (Canon et al. 2020). In co-cultures, a number of secondary metabolites are produced during co-cultivation hence, new substances of industrial interests have been discovered (Kapoore et al. 2022). Microbial co-cultures produce multiple and functional bio-compounds with antimicrobial activities, which can be used as safe alternative to combat the failure observing in some commercially available antibiotics, reported to be less effective against certain pathogenic microbes. This study therefore, aimed to examine the antimicrobial potentials of crude secondary metabolites secreted by L. fermentum, S. cerevisiae, P. ostreatus, and their co-cultures against pathogenic microorganisms isolated from RTE foods. The bioactive compounds in the metabolites secreted by the microorganisms were further identified using GC-MS.

## **Materials and methods**

## Chemicals, reagents, antibiotics, and culture media

Lysozyme and trypsin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Phenol was gotten from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Bile salt, and NaCl were purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium, nutrient agar (HiMedia, India), Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar, McConkey Agar (MCA), potato dextrose agar (PDA), yeast extract agar, De Man Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar, the antibiotics of COT - cotrimoxazole 25  $\mu$ g, CHL- chloramphenicol 30  $\mu$ g, CFX- ciprofloxacin 10  $\mu$ g, AMX- amoxicillin 25  $\mu$ g, AUG- augmentin 30  $\mu$ g, GEN - gentamycin 10  $\mu$ g, NIT- nitrofurantoin 20  $\mu$ g, ERYerythromycin 10  $\mu$ g and STR- streptomycin 30  $\mu$ g were products of Oxoid, Basingstoke Hampshire, UK.

### Source of Pleurotus ostreatus

*Pleurotus ostreatus* spawn was collected from the Federal Institute of Industrial Research Oshodi, Lagos. *P. ostreatus* was sub-cultured on PDA, and incubated at 25°C for 5–6 days to maintain a pure strain of fungus mycelia.

### Isolation of lactic acid bacteria from yoghurt

Ten local yoghurts were collected from different shops in Akure metropolis. One milliliter of the sample was homogenized with 10 mL of sterile water. Serial dilution was made up to  $10^{-5}$ . Aliquot (0.1 µL) from ( $10^{-4}$ and  $10^{-5}$ ) was inoculated on MRS agar. Inoculated plates were then incubated at 37°C for 48–72 h in an anaerobic jar. Bacterial strains were identified after biochemical tests using Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology (Holt et al. 1994). The probiotic characteristics of LAB were assessed by subjecting the isolates to different tolerance and tests like pH, bile salt, NaCl, haemolytic ability, lysozyme, phenol, hydrophobic and hemolytic activities using the methods described by Jose et al. (2015) and Reuben et al. (2019). One of the best probiotics- L. fermentum was selected and further characterized using molecular tools. The molecular characterization of L. fermentum isolated from yoghurt was done by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify the 16SrRNA gene of the bacterium using the forward primer 27F 5'AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC AG3' and reverse primer 1492R-5' TAC GGY TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T 3'. PCR products of 16 S rRNA amplification was sequenced in the Gen-Bank database and strain was identified using nucleotide BLASTN search tool at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/ BLAST. The sequenced gene in Gen bank revealed the isolates as L. fermentum CIP 102,980 with accession number NR 104927.1.

### Isolation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae from palm wine

Palm wine was collected in sterile bottles from palm wine tappers in Akure metropolis. Serial dilution was made up to  $10^{-5}$  dilution factor. About 0.1 mL from the corresponding dilutions  $(10^{-4} \text{ and } 10^{-5})$  were inoculated onto yeast extract agar. Inoculated plates were incubated at 37 °C for 72 h. S. cerevisiae strains were confirmed in accordance with standard methods described by Sharif et al. (2020). Pathogenicity tests like gelatin liquefaction, haemolysis, and DNase were carried out according to Olutiola et al. (1991). The isolate cannot produce gelatinase, DNase, and no haemolytic observed. The stress tolerance of yeast to temperature, sodium chloride, lysozyme, trypsin, pH, Bile salt, and probiotic activity were assessed using methods described by Kim et al. (2004) and Fakruddin et al. (2013). S. cerevisiae strain that portrayed the attributes of probiotic was selected for further study.

### Microbiological analysis of ready-to-eat foods

A total of 100 RTE foods namely; fried rice, cooked beans, dough nut, sausage roll, and other hawk ready to eat foods were obtained from Akure metropolis to isolate food pathogens including bacteria and fungi. One gram of each food sample was mixed with 10 mL to prepare stock. Stock (1.0 mL) was diluted with 9 mL of sterile distilled water until  $10^{-5}$  dilutions factor was obtained. Exactly 0.1 mL aliquot portions of the dilutions were spread onto triplicate sterile plates of nutrient agar, EMB MCA, and PDA. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and 48 h for bacteria and fungi. After the incubation time, the different culture plates were examined for microbial growth. Colonies were sub cultured to obtained pure cultures, which stored on nutrient agar slants at 4°C for further studies. The isolates were confirmed based on

cultural characteristics and biochemical tests in accordance to methods of Cappuccino and Sherman (1999) and Cheesbrough (2006). Bacteria were identified using Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (Holt et al. 1994). The screened fungi from the food samples were identified based on taxonomic schemes and descriptions as described by Ainsworth et al. (1973).

### Antibiotic sensitivity test

Antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed on the isolates using agar disc method as described by Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI, 2017). The antibiotic disc was aseptically placed on the molten Mueller Hinton agar and allowed for 30 min to pre-diffuse. These plates were incubated for 18–24 h at 37°C. Thereafter, the diameter of zones of inhibition were recorded and the results were interpreted using according the standard interpretative charts recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Science Institute (CLSI, 2017).

### Metabolites from microorganisms and their co-cultures

The co-culture methods of Vinale et al. (2017) and Sun et al. (2021) was adopted with little modification. Briefly, L. fermentum was grown in MRS broth (Oxoid, UK) at 30°C for 48 h, S. cerevisiae was grown in yeast malt broth at 25°C for 48 h and P. ostreatus was grown in PD broth at 26±2°C for 48 h. The co-culture of microorganisms was carried out by inoculating the two or three microorganisms (100 µL) of each in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing their growth media (50% v/v/v) and incubated in a shaker at 160 rpm at 27±1 °C, maintained for 7 days. The supernatant was obtained by centrifuging the mono or mixed cultures at 5,000 g for 10 min at -4 °C (HC-16 F, Jiangsu, China), and then subjected to extraction using ethyl acetate for 4 h. The mixture was membrane-filtered using a 0.22 µm Millipore filter, and the filtrate obtained was concentrated under reduced pressure.

# Antimicrobial activity of metabolites from microorganisms and their co-cultures

The antimicrobial activities of metabolites from *L. fermentum, S. cerevisiae, P. ostreatus,* and their co-cultures were tested against multiple antibiotics resistant microorganisms isolated from ready-to-eat foods using agar well diffusion method (Cheesbrough 2006). The pathogenic microorganisms (indicators) were activated in Mueller Hinton broth and incubated at 37 °C for 18–24 h. The inoculum size was adjusted to McFarland standardized. Inoculum (50 µL) was spread on the surface of prepared Mueller Hinton agar plates using a glass spreader. Well of 6 mm in diameter were made per plate. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h for bacteria, while fungi were cultured on PDA and incubated at 25 °C for 48–72 h. Standard antimicrobial agent; 100 µg/mL of ciprofloxacin and ketoconazole were used as positive reference for the bacterial and fungal isolates, respectively. Antimicrobial activity was determined by measuring the diameter of inhibition zone around each well.

# Gas chromatography - mass spectrometry elucidation of bioactive compounds in metabolites

The bioactive compounds in the metabolites from mono and co-cultured L. fermentum, S. cerevisiae and P. ostreatus mycelia were detected using Gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS) by adopting methods of Shaker et al. (2022) with little modification. A varian 3800 gas chromatograph equipped with a Agilent MS capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm i.d.) connected to a Varian 4000 mass spectrometer operating in the EI mode (70 eV; m/z 1-1000; source temperature 230 °C and a quadruple temperature 150 °C) was used for the GC-MS analysis. The column temperature was initially maintained at 200 °C for 2 min, increased to 300 °C at 4 °C/ min, and maintained for 20 min at 300 °C. The carrier gas was Nitrogen at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The inlet temperature was maintained at 300 °C with a split ratio of 50:1. A sample volume of 1µL in chloroform was injected using a split mode, with the split ratio of 50:1. The mass spectrometer was set to scan in the range of m/z 1-1000 with electron impact (EI) mode of ionization. Runtime was 70 min using computer searches on a National Institute Standard and Technology (NIST) 14.0 library NIST Ver.2.1 MS data library and comparing the spectrum obtained through GC-MS compounds.

### Statistical analysis

All experiment was performed in triplicate. The zones of inhibition were calculated using one-way analysis of variance and standard error $\pm$ mean was used for comparison of means. Duncan Multiple range test was used to detect significant differences, set at the 5% level.

## Results

# Percentage resistance of isolates from RTE foods against common antibiotics

Table 1 shows the resistance patterns of isolated bacteria from RTE foods to antibiotics. About 80–90% of *Staphylococcus aureus* were resistant to nitrofurantoin, and erythromycin. *Escherichia coli* showed resistance of 33.3 to 100% against tested antibiotics. At least 46.2% of *Salmonella enterica* showed resistance to one or more antibiotics. *Shigella dysenteriae* showed resistance percent of 25 to 100% to all tested antibiotics. The percentage resistance of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* to more than one antibiotic was within 28. 6 to 100%.

Table 1 Percentage resistance (%) of bacteria isolated from RTE foods against commercial antibiotics

Microorganisms	N	СОТ	CHL	CFX	AMX	AUG	GEN	NIT	ERY	STR
Staphylococcus aureus	20	(14)70	(12)60	(5)16.7	(10)50	(15)75	(6)30	(18)90	(16)80	(8)40
Escherichia coli	15	(10)66.7	(6)40	(3)20	(10)66.7	(11)73.3	(10)66.7	(5)33.3	(12)80	(15)100
Salmonella enterica	13	(10)76.9	(10)76.9	(13)100	(9)69.2	(6)46.2	(5)38.5	(8)61.5	(12)92.3	(8)61.5
Shigella dysenteriae	8	(8)100	(6)75	(4)50	(2)25	(3)37.5	(5)62.5	(6)75	(6)75	(2)25
Pseudomonas fluorescens	7	(5)71.4	(3)42.9	(7)100	(2)28.6	(5)85.7	(3)42.9	(6)85.7	(7)100	(4)57.1
Klebsiella pneumoniae	6	(2)33.3	(4)66.7	(2)33.3	(3)50	(4)66.7	(2)33.3	(3)50	(5)83.3	(4)66.7
Bacillus cereus	5	(3)60	(5)100	(2)40	(0)0	(4)80	(3)60	(4)80	(3)60	(4)80
Listeria monocytogens	2	(2)100	(2)100	(1)50	(2)100	(1)50	(1)50	(2)100	(2)100	(1)50

N- Number of isolates, Antibiotic codes are defined under materials and methods, Digits inside and outside parenthesis are number of resistance isolates and their percentage resistance, respectively

Table 2 Zones of inhibition (mm) k	y microbial metabolites against	foodborne pathogens

Organisms	L. fermentum	P. ostreatus	S. cerevisiae	L. fermen- tum + S. cerevisiae	L. fermen- tum + P. ostreatus	P. ostreatus + S. cerevisiae	L. fermen- tum + P. os- treatus + S. cerevisiae	Ciprofloxacin	Fluconazole
Salmonella enterica	11.70±0.43 <sup>a</sup>	12.30±0.03 <sup>bc</sup>	12.70±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	$15.00 \pm 1.02^{a}$	16.70±1.03 <sup>a</sup>	13.70±0.04 <sup>e</sup>	19.80±2.10 <sup>c</sup>	$22.30 \pm 1.80^{\circ}$	
Shigella dysenteriae	$8.80 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	10.70±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	$9.30 \pm 0.00^{d}$	10.10±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	$11.40 \pm 0.60^{d}$	13.30±0.81 <sup>e</sup>	14.70±1.11 <sup>d</sup>	$21.30 \pm 1.30^{b}$	
Escherichia coli	$9.00 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$	14.30±0.53 <sup>a</sup>	$11.00 \pm 0.00^{bc}$	$14.30 \pm 0.10^{a}$	$15.00 \pm 0.00$ <sup>ab</sup>	$21.10 \pm 1.45^{a}$	$23.70 \pm 2.40^{a}$	$e21.40 \pm 2.00^{b}$	
Klebsiella pneumoni- ae	$9.00 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$	$10.50 \pm 0.00^{d}$	13.00±0.53 <sup>a</sup>	14.70±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	12.70±0.38 <sup>c</sup>	13.40±0.31 <sup>e</sup>	15.00±1.70 <sup>de</sup>	18.10±1.13ª	
Pseudo- monas fluorescens	9.30±0.33 <sup>c</sup>	12.40±0.20 <sup>bc</sup>	$10.00 \pm 0.00^{d}$	$15.00 \pm 0.20^{a}$	11.70±0.00 <sup>d</sup>	15.70±0.73 <sup>d</sup>	15.10±0.90 <sup>de</sup>	24.10±1.00 <sup>c</sup>	
Bacillus cereus	10.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	11.30±0.11 <sup>c</sup>	10.20±0.30 <sup>d</sup>	14.30±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	$16.30 \pm 0.33^{a}$	17.10±0.90 <sup>c</sup>	18.70±0.55 <sup>c</sup>	$24.10 \pm 0.80^{\circ}$	
Listeria monocyto- gens	9.00±0.63 <sup>c</sup>	$9.00 \pm 0.20^{e}$	$10.00 \pm 0.00^{d}$	$10.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	13.70±0.33 <sup>c</sup>	18.30±1.50 <sup>bc</sup>	16.30±0.49 <sup>cd</sup>	22.30±0.81 <sup>b</sup>	
Staphy- lococcus aureus Fungi	10.00±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	13.00±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	11.00±0.00 <sup>bc</sup>	15.10±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	15.00±0.00 <sup>ab</sup>	15.70±1.11 <sup>d</sup>	20.30±1.03 <sup>b</sup>	21.70±0.33 <sup>b</sup>	
Penicillium chrysoge- num	10.30±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	9.30±0.31 <sup>e</sup>	$10.00 \pm 0.03^{d}$	14.70±0.71 <sup>a</sup>	14.30±0.33 <sup>b</sup>	19.20±2.10 <sup>b</sup>	18.30±0.03 <sup>c</sup>		21.30±0.03 <sup>a</sup>
Aspergillus flavus	$9.10 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$	$9.10 \pm 0.30^{e}$	$9.30 \pm 0.80^{d}$	12.00±0.20 <sup>b</sup>	$13.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$16.00 \pm 0.33^{\circ}$	16.00±0.50 <sup>cd</sup>		$22.00 \pm 0.40^{a}$
Aspergillus niger	10.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>	12.00±0.30 <sup>bc</sup>	$13.00 \pm 1.00^{a}$	11.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	$15.30 \pm 0.00^{ab}$	$20.00 \pm 1.10^{b}$	14.80±0.63 <sup>e</sup>		21.70±0.50 <sup>a</sup>

Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  standard error (n = 3). Values with the same superscript letter(s) along the same column are not significantly different ( $p \le 0.05$ )

# Antimicrobial effects of metabolites obtained from microorganisms and their co-cultures

Table 2 depicts zones of inhibition by metabolites from microorganisms against indicator microorganisms. The zones of inhibition observed reflect the antimicrobial effect of metabolites from monoculture and co-culture of *L. fermentum, P. ostreatus,* and *S. cerevisiae.* The metabolites have varying zones of inhibition against all the pathogenic microorganisms. The metabolites obtained from the co-culture of *L. fermentum, P. ostreatus,* and

S. cerevisiae displayed zones of inhibition against all the microorganisms with 8.80 to 11.70 mm, 9.00 to 14.30 mm, and 9.30 to 13.00 mm, respectively. The metabolites from *L. fermentum*+*S. cerevisiae* have similar (P=0.05) zones of inhibition that are within 14.30 mm to 15.00 mm against Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas fluorescens Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, and Penicillium chrysogenum. Metabolites from *L. fermentum*+*P. ostreatus* exhibited similar (p=0.05) zones of inhibition against *Listeria*  monocytogens, B. cereus, and S. enterica. The metabolites obtained from the co-culture of P. ostreatus+S. cerevisiae as well as L. fermentum+P. ostreatus+S. cerevisiae showed the highest inhibitory zone of 21.10 mm, and 23.70 mm against E. coli. The Findings of Serna-Cock et al. (2019) reiterated that, crude extracts of metabolites from co-cultures of Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus plantarum, and Weissella cibaria demonstrated pronounced antimicrobial activity against L. monocytogenes.

# Bioactive compounds in the metabolites of *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Pleurotus ostreatus* and their co-cultures

The GC-MS chromatograms elucidation of bio-compounds in metabolites obtained from monoculture of L. fermentum, P. ostreatus or S. cerevisiae respectively showed seventeen (17) peaks, fifteen (15) peaks, and thirteen (13) peaks as shown in (Fig. S1 to S3 see supplementary file). Fig. S4 and S5 in supplementary file show GC-MS chromatograms of bioactive compounds in metabolites from co-culture of P. ostreatus+S. cerevisiae with twenty-two (22) peaks and co-culture of L. fermen*tum+P. ostreatus+S. cerevisiae*, having twenty-seven (27) peaks. The bioactive constituents in the metabolites of mono-cultured *L. fermentum* are shown in Table S1. Some of the bioactive compounds (%) are 3-phenyllactic acid (30.13), methyl caprate (13.00), n-heptadecoic acid (8.64), E-2-Tetradecen-1-ol (4.97), 3,11-tetradecadien-1-ol (8.25), 11,14,17-Eicosatrienoic acid (4.02), palmitic acid (3.55), 1,3-butylene diacetate (3.50), 13-tetradece-11-yn-1-ol (1.71), 2-hexadecanoyl glycerol (3.32), and supraene (2.41). The bio-compounds in the metabolites of monocultured P. ostreatus are shown in Table S2. The most prevailing bioactive compound is linolelaidic acid methyl ester with peak area of 15.63%, followed by beta-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (12.80%), methyl elaidate (12.13%), 2-isopentyloxirane (12.08%), palmitic acid, desipramine (8.82%), methyl ester (5.78%) and others. The bioactive chemical constituents in the secondary metabolites of monocultured S. cerevisiae are shown in Table S3. 4-O-methylmannose was predominant compound with peak area 53.74%. Other bioactive compounds includes; neopentyl acetate (33.95%), squalene (2.71%), beta-linalool (1.66%), alpha-limonene diepoxide (2.04%), and others. The bioactive constituents in the metabolites from co-cultured *P. ostreatus+S. cerevisiae* are shown in Table S4. The bioactive ingredient in metabolites are alpha-linolenic acid having the highest peak area of 25.71%, while trans-squalene is 12.39%. otjer bioactive compounds are pentadecylic acid (11.68%), phytol (8.68%), stearic acid (7.06%), E-2-tetradecen-1-ol (5.62%), 9,12,15-octadecatrien-1-ol (5.20%), margaric acid (3.90%) and others.

Table S5 shows the bioactive compounds in the metabolites obtained from co-cultured *L. fermentum*+*P.* 

*ostreatus+S. cerevisiae.* GCMS identified the presence of acetic acid 3-methylbutyl ester (13.83%), alpha-methyl mannofuranoside (12.75%), caproic acid (10.15%), transsqualene (3.69%), 8-hydroxylinalool (5.37%), alpha-citrylidene ethanol (4.39%), isogeraniol (3.18%), isovaleric acid (2.02%) and others (Table S5).

### Discussion

The RTE foods contain strains of multiple antibiotic microorganisms. The findings of Bantawa et al. (2019) revealed the antibiotic resistance pattern of Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Shigella and Vibrio sp. isolated from animal foods to amoxicillin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol and nalidixic acid, cefotaxime with values ranging from 11 to 100%. In a similar manner, the findings of Karikari et al. (2022) indicated that E. coli and Salmonella sp. isolated from ready-to eat food displayed multiple antibiotic resistance as a result of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase. Most of the ready to eat foods contain resistance microorganisms. Ogidi et al. (2016) revealed that, microorganisms isolated from ready-to-eat foods showed significant resistance to commonly used antibiotics; amoxicillin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, gentamicin, tetracycline, nalidixic acid, and streptomycin. Rajaei et al. (2021) revealed the phenotypic and genotypic of antibiotic resistance bacteria isolated from raw kebab and hamburger. The exposure of microorganisms in foods to antibiotics as well as co-existence of non-resistance bacteria with multiple resistance bacteria may increase the emergence of resistance bacteria. Reservoirs of antibiotic-resistant bacteria coexist with resistance genes; mobile elements such as plasmids, transposons and integrons, which are able to transfer between bacterial cells, promote the acquisition and spread of resistance genes (Munita and Arias 2016).

The production of secondary metabolites by novel microbes-derived natural products is a promising strategy to curb antibiotic resistance. Larger number of microorganisms can be co-cultivated to produce numerous natural products with structural diversities and significant bioactivities (Boruta 2021; Caudal et al. 2022). L. fermentum, Lactobacillus planetarium, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus reuteri, Lactobacillus rhaminous, Lactobacillus licheniformis, Streptococcus thermophiles, Lactococcus lactis, Bifidobacterium spp., S. cerevisiae are beneficial probiotics that can inhibit pathogen microorganisms with various antimicrobial substances and with other therapeutic potentials and thus, useful in pharmaceuticals and food industries (Zommiti et al. 2020). Saccharomyces strains present a inhibitory effect against many gastrointestinal pathogens such as Salmonella typhimurium, Shigella flexneri, enteropathogenic and enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli strains, Vibrio cholerae, Rotavirus, Helicobacter pylori, C. albicans to treat relapses of Clostridium difficile infection (Sen and Mansell 2020; Ryabtseva et al. 2023). Pleurotus species has a broad spectrum of antibacterial activity against E. coli, Salmonella typhi, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, B. subtilis, and Streptococcus faecalis with varying degrees of inhibitory zones (Gashaw et al. 2020. Studies of Fadahunsi and Olubodun (2021) disclosed the antagonistic potentials of S. cerevisiae and other yeasts against food-borne pathogens namely; Salmonella sp., Campylobacter jejuni, Listeria monocytogenes and Vibrio cholera. The findings of Roussel et al. (2018) revealed that, probiotic yeast; S. cerevisiae CNCM I-3856 exercised an anti-infectious activity against a human enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli strain through multi-targeted approaches like inhibition of bacterial growth, toxin production, reduction of bacterial adhesion to mucins and intestinal epithelial cells, and suppression of ETEC-induced inflammation. The antibacterial and antifungal capabilities of S. cerevisiae against B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. faecalis, S. typhi, S. flexneri, K. pneumonia, P. vulgaris, E. coli, V. cholera, P. aeruginosa, Aspergillus spp., P. chrysogenum and R. oryzae was reported in the findings of Fakruddin et al. (2017). S. cerevisiae exhibited antimicrobial activity against diarrhea, acute and chronic gastrointestinal diseases causing microorganisms, act as a potential biotherapeutic agent for the treatment of diarrhea and colitis, modulate immune responses against acute necrotizing pancreatitis, giardiasis, autoimmune encephalomyelitis, and Crohn's disease (Abid et al. 2022). The antibacterial mechanisms of probiotics are due to their interdependence with pathogens, production of biosurfactants that inhibit pathogen adherence, decreased pH level, competition for nutrients, production of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), lactic acid, diacetyl and small heatstable inhibitory peptides (bacteriocins), which has led to the inhibition of several pathogens and thus, make them importance for various biotechnological applications (Bishnoi et al. 2012; Syal and Vohra 2013; Fakruddin et al. 2017). The secondary metabolites secreted by these microorganisms can be bactericidal or bacteriostatic substances, which need to be exploited as a strategy to mitigate multiple antibiotics resistant pathogens. Diversities of bacteria and yeast strains secret immense number of antimicrobials secondary metabolites that can be developed into a new, promising, cost-effective and medicinal benefits products (Mullis et al. 2019).

LAB are capable of producing organic acids, bacteriocins, and other secondary metabolites. The findings of Gabriel et al. (2021) revealed that *L. plantarum* secreted plantaricin, benzeneacetic, and 3-phenyllactic acids and were identified by GCMS. *L. fermentum* produced 3-phenyllactic [2-Hydroxy-3-Phenyl propionic acid (PLA)], which is an organic acid produced by some microorganisms. It is a regular metabolite LAB and is used in the food industry. PLA is known to have antimicrobial activity against fungi and bacteria (Liu et al. 2017; Ning et al. 2017) and could be used as natural bio-control agent to extend the shelf-life of foods (Schnürer and Magnusson 2005; Schwenninger et al. 2008). Metabolite of mono-cultured P. ostreatus contain linolelaidic acid methyl ester, its byproducts are known to employ different biological effects like regulators of macrophage differentiation and atherogenesis anticancer activity (Vangaveti et al. 2010; Yuan et al. 2010; Tavakoli et al. 2013). Hamad et al. (2022) revealed antimicrobial, cytotoxic, immunomodulatory, and antioxidant activities of P. ostreatus. The researchers attributed the bioactivities to secondary metabolites like ethyl iso-allocholate, 3(2 H)-furanone, dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-5-phenyl (11.23%), amphetamine, acetic acid, [(benzoyl amino)oxy] or Benzadox, 7,8-epoxylanostan-11-ol,3-acetoxy, toosendanin, flavone 4'-OH,5-OH,7-DI-O-Glucoside, 1,3,2-dioxaborolane,2,4,diethyl, benzaldehyde, 4-(dimethylamino), pentacosan, tetraacetyl-D-xylonic nitrile, hexadecane, 2-butenoic acid,2-methyl-2(acetyloxy)-1,1a,2,3,4,6,7,10,11,11a-decahydro-7,1, 2-hexadecanol, and phytophylene identified by GCMS.

Metabolites from monoculture of S. cerevisiae contained 4-O-methylmannose, which has been proven to be a secondary messenger important in human metabolism, especially in the glycosylation of certain proteins (Freeze and Sharma 2010; Giordano et al. 2011). The bioactive constituents present in the metabolites of co-cultured P. ostreatus and S. cerevisiae show the presence of alpha-linolenic acid (ALA), which has been proven to contribute to antimicrobial activity of some bacteria, fungi and the green algae against pathogenic microorganisms (McGaw et al. 2002; Ogidi et al. 2015). The bioactive compounds in the metabolites of co-cultured L. fermentum +P. ostreatus+S. cerevisiae showed acetic acid, 3-methylbutyl ester and others. Sun et al. (2021) revealed A total of 25 newly biosynthesized metabolites from the co-culture of Aspergillus sydowii and Bacillus subtilis. The findings of Hamed et al. (2024) revealed that co-culture strategy of Aspergillus sp. CO2 and Bacillus sp. COBZ21 increase their antimicrobial activity against Escherichia coli ATCC 25,922, Staphylococcus aureus NRRLB-767, and Candida albicans ATCC 10,231. Microorganisms remain the alternative and common sources of natural products for production of modern drug molecules. Metabolites from co-cultured microorganisms can be exploited and used for the treatment of many diseases and illnesses.

### Conclusion

Ready-to-eat foods serve as potential vehicles of clinically relevant foodborne pathogens with multiple antibiotic resistance. The foodborne diseases caused by antibiotic-resistant microorganisms among different countries is not yet adequately controlled and thus, led to different health challenges. In this study, array of foodborne pathogens were isolated from RTE foods, the occurrence of such microorganisms in RTE and their multidrug resistant required urgent attentions. Hence, antagonistic activity of mono and co-culture of L. fermentum, S. cerevisiae, and P. ostreatus was established against the pathogenic bacteria and fungi isolated from RTE foods. The metabolites from monoculture, and coculture of L. fermentum, P. ostreatus, and S. cerevisiae inhibited the growth of indicator microorganisms from RTE foods due to potent secondary metabolites. The bioactive compounds identified with GC-MS in metabolites from mono and co-culture L. fermentum, S. cerevisiae, and *P. ostreatus* can be harnessed for the production of novel antimicrobial agents, which will reduce the emergence of multidrug resistance by microorganisms. The study revealed that the co-culture microorganisms could produce new bioactive compounds and increase the yield of existing metabolites. Co-culture of two or more microbes will yield unique advantages over the failure of commercially available antibiotics and to curb the multiple antibiotic-resistant displayed microorganisms. The metabolites secreted by studied L. fermentum, S. cerevisiae, and P. ostreatus can be further exploited as natural preservatives. Further study would reveals the uses of the microbial metabolites as preventive agents to minimize spoilage of foods.

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13213-024-01776-5.

Supplementary Material 1

### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

### Author contributions

OCO and BJA conceived and designed the research work. OEB, OCO, BJA carried out the experimental study. OEB and OCO analysed data. OCO and BJA supervised the work. OCO drafted the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript. Authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

Not applicable.

### Data availability

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### **Consent for publication**

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

### **Competing interests**

None.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, PMB 704, Akure, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, School of Agriculture, Food and Natural Resources, Olusegun Agagu University of Science and Technology, PMB 353, Okitipupa, Nigeria

## Received: 26 February 2024 / Accepted: 3 August 2024 Published online: 31 August 2024

### References

- Abalkhail A (2023) Frequency and antimicrobial resistance patterns of foodborne pathogens in ready-to-eat foods: an evolving public health challenge. Appl Sci 13(23):12846. https://doi.org/10.3390/app132312846
- Abid R, Waseem H, Ali J, Ghazanfar S, Muhammad Ali G, Elasbali AM, Alharethi SH (2022) Probiotic yeast Saccharomyces: back to nature to improve human health. J Fungi 8(5):444. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8050444
- Ainsworth GC, Sparrow FK, Sussman AS (1973) The fungi taxonomic keys. Academic, U.S.A., pp 13–67
- Bader J, Mast-Gerlach E, Popović MK, Bajpai R, Stahl U (2010) Relevance of microbial coculture fermentations in biotechnology. J Appl Microbiol 109(2):371– 387. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04659.x
- Bantawa K, Sah SN, Subba Limbu D, Subba P, Ghimire A (2019) Antibiotic resistance patterns of Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Salmonella, Shigella and Vibrio isolated from chicken, pork, buffalo and goat meat in Eastern Nepal. BMC Res Notes 12(766). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-019-4798-7
- Bintsis T (2017) Foodborne pathogens. AIMS Microbiol 3(3):529–563. https://doi. org/10.3934/microbiol.2017.3.529
- Bishnoi K, Mahesh K, Vipin S, Deepika G (2012) Microbiological assay for vitamin B. Intern Res J Pharm 3(2):74–82
- Boruta TA (2021) Bioprocess perspective on the production of secondary metabolites by *Streptomyces* in submerged co-cultures. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 37:171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-021-03141-z
- Canon F, Nidelet T, Guédon E, Thierry A, Gagnaire V (2020) Understanding the mechanisms of positive microbial interactions that benefit lactic acid bacteria co-cultures. Front Microbiology, 11, 2088. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.02088
- Cappuccino GJ, Sherman N (1999) Microbiology, a laboratory manual: biochemical activities of microorganism, fifth edn. Benjamin/Cumming science Publishing, California
- Caudal F, Tapissier-Bontemps N, Edrada-Ebel RA (2022) Impact of co-culture on the metabolism of marine microorganisms. Mar Drugs 20(2):153. https://doi. org/10.3390/md20020153
- Cheesbrough M (2006) District laboratory practice in tropical countries, part 2. Cambridge University Press
- Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (2017) Performance standards for antimicrobial disc susceptibility tests. Approved standard: CCC Document M2-A5, Pennsylvania
- Czerucka D, Rampal P (2019) Diversity of Saccharomyces Boulardii CNCM I-745 mechanisms of action against intestinal infections. World J Gastroenterol 25(18):2188–2203. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v25.i18.2188
- Fadahunsi IF, Olubodun S (2021) Antagonistic pattern of yeast species against some selected food-borne pathogens. Bull Natl Res Cent 45:34. https://doi. org/10.1186/s42269-020-00482-x
- Fakruddin M, Islam MA, Quayum MA, Ahmed MM, Chowdhury N (2013) Process optimization of bioethanol production by stress tolerant yeasts isolated from agro-industrial waste. Intl J Renew Sustain Energy 2(4):133–139
- Fakruddin M, Hossain MN, Ahmed MM (2017) Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of Saccharomyces cerevisiae IFST062013, a potential probiotic. BMC Compl Altern Med 1764. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-017-1591-9
- Freeze HH, Sharma V (2010) Metabolic manipulation of glycosylation disorders in humans and animal models. Sem Cell Devel Biol 21(6):655–662
- Gabriel PO, Ogidi CO, Olaniyi OO, Akinyele BJ (2021) Assessment of nutritional composition and antifungal potential of bacteriocinogenic lactic acid bacteria from 'Kati' against toxigenic *aspergillus flavus*. Acta Facul Medi Naiss 38(1):64–76
- Gashaw G, Fassil A, Redi F (2020) Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of *Pleurotus* spp. cultivated on different agricultural wastes in Chiro, Ethiopia. Intern J Microbiol, 2020, 9312489. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9312489

- Hamad D, El-Sayed H, Ahmed W, Sonbol H, Ramadan MAH (2022) GC-MS analysis of potentially volatile compounds of *Pleurotus ostreatus* polar extract: *in vitro* antimicrobial, cytotoxic, immunomodulatory, and antioxidant activities. Front Microbiol 13:834525. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.834525
- Hamed AA, Ghareeb MA, Kelany AK et al (2024) Induction of antimicrobial, antioxidant metabolites production by co-cultivation of two red-sea-spongeassociated *aspergillus* sp. CO2 and *Bacillus* sp. COBZ21. BMC Biotechnol 24:3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12896-024-00830-z
- Hashempour-Baltork F, Hosseini H, Shojaee-Aliabadi S, Torbati M, Alizadeh AM, Alizadeh M (2019) Drug resistance and the prevention strategies in foodborne bacteria: an update review. Adva Pharm Bull 9(3):335–347. https://doi. org/10.15171/apb.2019.041
- Holt JG, Kreig NR, Sneath PHA, Stanley JT, Williams ST (1994) Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology-ninth edition. Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore
- Ibrahim SA, Ayivi RD, Zimmerman T, Siddiqui SA, Altemimi AB, Fidan H, Esatbeyoglu T, Bakhshayesh RV (2021) Lactic acid bacteria as antimicrobial agents: food safety and microbial food spoilage prevention. Foods 10(12):3131. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10123131
- Jose NM, Bunt CR, Hussain AM (2015) Comparison of microbiological and probiotic characteristics of lactobacilli isolates from dairy food products and animal rumen contents. Microorganisms 3:198–212
- Kapoore RV, Padmaperuma G, Maneein S, Vaidyanathan S (2022) Co-culturing microbial consortia: approaches for applications in biomanufacturing and bioprocessing. Crit Rev Biotechnol 42:46–72
- Karikari AB, Kpordze SW, Yamik DY, Saba CKS (2022) Ready-to-eat food as sources of extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Salmonella and E. coli in Tamale, Ghana. Front. Trop. Dis 3:834048. https://doi.org/10.3389/fitd.2022.834048
- Kim S, Kim H, Chae HJ (2004) Selection of probiotic yeasts from soil, characterization and application for feed additives. Agric Chem Biotechnol 47(1):20–26
- Liu F, Du L, Zhao T, Zhao P, Doyle MP (2017) Effects of phenyllactic acid as sanitizing agent for inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilms. Food Cont. 78:72–78
- McGaw LJ, Jager AK, Staden JV (2002) Antibacterial effects of fatty acids and related compounds from plants. South Afri J Bot (68): 417–423
- Mullis MM, Rambo IM, Baker BJ, Reese BK (2019) Diversity, ecology, and prevalence of antimicrobials in nature. Front Microbiol 10:2518. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.02518
- Munita JM, Arias CA (2016) Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Microbiol Spect 4(2). https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016-2015
- Ning Y, Yan A, Yang K, Wang Z, Li X, Jia Y (2017) Antibacterial activity of phenyllactic acid against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* by dual mechanisms. Food Chem, (228): 533–540
- Ogidi OC, Oyetayo VO, Akinyele BJ (2015) *In vitro* evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of extracts obtained from raw and fermented wild macrofungus, *Lenzites Quercina*. Intern J Microbiol, 1–7
- Ogidi OC, Oyetayo VO, Akinyele BJ (2016) Microbial quality and antibiotic sensitivity pattern of isolated microorganisms from street foods sold in Akure metropolis, Nigeria. Jordan J Biol Sci, 9(4)
- Olutiola PO, Famurewa O, Sonntag HG (1991) An introduction to general microbiology. A practical approach, 1st edn. Heidelberger Verlagsanstalt, Heidelberg, Germany
- Parapouli M, Vasileiadis A, Afendra AS, Hatziloukas E (2020) Saccharomyces cerevisiae and its industrial applications. AIMS Microbiol 6(1):1–31. https://doi. org/10.3934/microbiol.2020001
- Peng XY, Wu JT, Shao CL, Li ZY et al (2021) Co-culture: stimulate the metabolic potential and explore the molecular diversity of natural products from microorganisms. Mar life Sci Technol 3(3):363–374. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42995-020-00077-5
- Rajaei M, Moosavy MH, Gharajalar SN, Khatibi SA (2021) Antibiotic resistance in the pathogenic foodborne bacteria isolated from raw kebab and hamburger: phenotypic and genotypic study. BMC Microbiol 21:272. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12866-021-02326-8
- Reuben RC, Roy PC, Sarkar SL, Alam RU, Jahid IK (2019) Isolation, characterization, and assessment of lactic acid bacteria toward their selection as poultry probiotics. BMC Microbiol 19:253. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1626-0
- Roussel C, Sivignon A, de Vallée A, Garrait G, Denis S, Tsilia V, Ballet N, Vandekerckove P, Van de Wiele T, Barnich N, Blanquet-Diot S (2018) Anti-infectious properties of the probiotic *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CNCM I-3856 on

enterotoxigenic *E. Coli* (ETEC) strain H10407. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 102(14):6175–6189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9053-y

- Rusu AV, Trif M, Rocha JM (2023) Microbial secondary metabolites via fermentation approaches for dietary supplementation formulations. Molecules 28(16):6020. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28166020
- Ryabtseva SA, Khramtsov AG, Sazanova SN, Budkevich RO, Fedortsov NM, Veziryan AA (2023) The probiotic properties of *Saccharomycetes* (Review). Appl Biochem Microbiol 59:111–121. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0003683823010088
- Schnürer J, Magnusson J (2005) Antifungal lactic acid bacteria as biopreservatives. Trends Food Sci Technol (16): 70–78
- Schwenninger SM, Lacroix C, Truttmann S, Jans C, Sporndli C, Bigler L (2008) Characterization of low-molecular-weight antiyeast metabolites produced by a food-protective *Lactobacillus-Propionibacterium* coculture. J Food Prot 71:2481–2487
- Sen S, Mansell TJ (2020) Yeasts as probiotics: mechanisms, outcomes, and future potential. Fungal Gene Biol 137:103333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fgb.2020.103333
- Serna-Cock L, Rojas-Dorado M, Ordoñez-Artunduaga D, García-Salazar A, García-González E, Aguilar CN (2019) Crude extracts of metabolites from co-cultures of lactic acid bacteria are highly antagonists of *Listeria monocytogenes*. Heliyon 5(9):e02448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02448
- Shaker KH, Zohair MM, Hassan AZ et al (2022) LC–MS/MS and GC–MS based phytochemical perspectives and antimicrobial effects of endophytic fungus *Chaetomium Ovatoascomatis* isolated from *Euphorbia milii*. Arch Microbiol 204:661. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-022-03262-5
- Sharif M, Zafar MH, Rahman SU, Anam S, Ashfaq K, Aqib AI (2020) Isolation and identification of Saccharomyces cerevisiae for fermentation of rice polishing in livestock feeding. Anais Acad Brasil Cien 92(3):e20191140. https://doi. org/10.1590/0001-3765202020191140
- Sun Y, Liu WC, Shi X et al (2021) Inducing secondary metabolite production of *Aspergillus sydowii* through microbial co-culture with *Bacillus subtilis*. Microb Cell Fact 20:42. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-021-01527-0
- Syal P, Vohra A (2013) Probiotic potential of yeasts isolated from traditional Indian fermented foods. Intern J Microbiol Res 5(2):390–398
- Tavakoli Y, Karami M, Tehrani F (2013) Apoptosis Induced by 13 hydroxyoctadecadie noic acid in the breast cancer cell lines, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231. Iran J Basic Med Sci, (16): 653–659
- Todd E (2014) Foodborne diseases: overview of biological hazards and foodborne diseases. Encycl Food Saf 221–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/ B978-0-12-378612-8.00071-8
- Törős G, El-Ramady H, Prokisch J, Velasco F, Llanaj X, Nguyen DHH, Peles F (2023) Modulation of the gut microbiota with prebiotics and antimicrobial agents from *Pleurotus ostreatus* mushroom. Foods 16(10):2010. https://doi. org/10.3390/foods12102010
- Torres-Martínez B, del Vargas-Sánchez M, Torrescano-Urrutia RD, Esqueda GR, Rodríguez-Carpena M, Fernández-López JG, Perez-Alvarez J, Sánchez-Escalante JA A (2022) *Pleurotus* genus as a potential ingredient for meat products. Foods 11(6):779. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11060779
- Vangaveti V, Baune BT, Kennedy RL (2010) Hydroxyoctadecadienoic acids: novel regulators of macrophage differentiation and atherogenesis. Th Adv Endocrinol Metabol, (1): 51–60
- Vinale F, Nicoletti R, Borrelli F et al (2017) Co-culture of plant beneficial microbes as source of bioactive metabolites. Sci Rep 7:14330. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-14569-5
- Wang Y, Wu J, Lv M, Shao Z, Hungwe M, Wang J, Bai X, Xie J, Wang Y, Geng W (2021) Metabolism characteristics of lactic acid bacteria and the expanding applications in food industry. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 9:612285. https://doi. org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.612285

WHO (World Health Organization) (2022) Food safety [(accessed on 14 June 2022)]; available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ food-safety

- Yuan H, Li MY, Ma LT (2010) 15-Lipoxygenases and its metabolites 15(S)-HETE and 13(S)-HODE in the development of non-small cell lung cancer. Thorax 65:321–326
- Zommiti M, Feuilloley M, Connil N (2020) Update of probiotics in human world: a nonstop source of benefactions till the end of time. Microorganisms, 8(12), 1907. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8121907

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.